

national**grid**

Colonial Gas Company
d/b/a

National Grid

Financial Statements

For the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010

COLONIAL GAS COMPANY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
Report of Independent Auditors	2
Balance Sheets..... March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010	3
Statements of Income..... Years Ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010	5
Statements of Cash Flows..... Years Ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010	6
Statements of Retained Earnings..... Years Ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010	7
Statements of Capitalization..... March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010	7
Notes to Financial Statements	8



Report of Independent Auditors

To the Stockholder and Board of Directors of
Colonial Gas Company:

In our opinion, the accompanying balance sheets and the related statements of income, retained earnings, capitalization and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Colonial Gas Company at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

June 28, 2011

COLONIAL GAS COMPANY
BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands of dollars, except per share and number of shares data)

	March 31,	
	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Accounts receivable	\$ 51,624	\$ 45,285
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(3,130)	(4,440)
Unbilled revenues	13,993	16,509
Intercompany moneypool	20,484	31,259
Gas in storage and materials, at average cost	15,459	20,622
Derivative contracts	228	4,331
Regulatory assets	12,458	13,946
Current deferred income tax assets	30,610	29,191
Prepaid and other current assets	2,581	2,555
Total current assets	144,307	159,258
Property, plant and equipment, net	411,308	404,981
Deferred charges		
Regulatory assets	273,734	41,390
Goodwill	54,564	199,001
Derivative contracts	34	-
Other deferred charges	2,342	2,493
Total deferred charges	330,674	242,884
Total assets	\$ 886,289	\$ 807,123

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

COLONIAL GAS COMPANY
BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands of dollars, except per share and number of shares data)

	March 31,	
	2011	2010
LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 5,550	\$ 6,692
Accounts payable to affiliates, net	43,794	39,168
Deferred gas costs	67,070	55,553
Interest accrued	4,993	7,571
Regulatory liabilities	1,464	5,134
Derivative contracts	1,290	6,355
Other current liabilities	2,205	1,836
Total current liabilities	126,366	122,309
Deferred credits and other liabilities		
Regulatory liabilities	78,885	71,799
Asset retirement obligations	1,959	1,848
Deferred income tax liabilities	172,935	81,845
Postretirement benefits and other reserves	44,968	41,733
Environmental remediation costs	8,939	6,892
Derivative contracts	103	-
Other deferred liabilities	328	409
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	308,117	204,526
Capitalization		
Common stock, \$100 per share		
200 authorized, 100 issued and outstanding	10	10
Additional paid-in capital	319,974	319,974
Retained earnings	7,822	36,304
Total shareholder's equity	327,806	356,288
Long-term debt	75,000	75,000
Advance from KeySpan New England, LLC	49,000	49,000
Total capitalization	451,806	480,288
Total liabilities and capitalization	\$ 886,289	\$ 807,123

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**COLONIAL GAS COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(in thousands of dollars)

	Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Operating revenues	\$ 264,248	\$ 267,686
Operating expenses		
Gas purchased for resale	174,458	178,048
Operations and maintenance	41,227	46,388
Depreciation and amortization	25,274	21,801
Other taxes	6,064	5,681
Total operating expenses	247,023	251,918
Operating income	17,225	15,768
Other income and (deductions)		
Interest on long-term debt	(5,775)	(5,775)
Other interest, including affiliate interest	(1,211)	(4,956)
Other income	501	555
Total other deductions	(6,485)	(10,176)
Income taxes		
Current	2,710	(5,336)
Deferred	(3,488)	6,474
Total income taxes	(778)	1,138
Net income	\$ 11,518	\$ 4,454

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**COLONIAL GAS COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW**

(in thousands of dollars)

	Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 11,518	\$ 4,454
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	25,274	21,801
(Benefit) provision for deferred income taxes	(3,488)	6,474
Other non-cash items	2,271	2,708
Net pension and other postretirement expense	1,122	1,446
Net environmental payment	2,047	(629)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	(5,133)	20,757
Gas in storage and materials	5,163	4,610
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	8,411	1,747
Prepaid taxes and accruals	(111)	-
Other, net	3,956	(1,769)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>51,030</u>	<u>61,599</u>
Investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(23,670)	(24,453)
Net proceeds from sale of subsidiary assets		
Derivative margin calls	-	850
Other, including cost of removal	(2,761)	(1,535)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(26,431)</u>	<u>(25,138)</u>
Financing activities:		
Dividends paid to KeySpan New England, LLC	(40,000)	-
Affiliated moneypool borrowings and other	15,401	(36,461)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(24,599)</u>	<u>(36,461)</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	-	-
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	-	-
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Supplemental information:		
Interest paid	\$ 10,680	\$ 8,964
Income taxes paid	\$ 5,402	\$ 9,758
Capital-related accruals in accounts payable	\$ (244)	\$ 146
Non-cash transactions:		
Paid-in capital to KeySpan New England, LLC	\$ -	\$ 15,000
Advance from KeySpan New England, LLC	\$ -	\$ (15,000)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

COLONIAL GAS COMPANY
STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS

(in thousands of dollars)

	Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Retained earnings, beginning of the year	\$ 36,304	\$ 31,850
Net income	11,518	4,454
Dividend to KeySpan New England, LLC	(40,000)	-
Retained earnings, end of the year	\$ 7,822	\$ 36,304

STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION

(in thousands of dollars, except per share and number of shares data)

	March 31,			
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	Shares Issued and Outstanding		Amounts	
Stockholder's equity:				
Common stock, \$100 per share, 200 authorized	100	100	\$ 10	\$ 10
Additional paid-in capital			319,974	319,974
Retained earnings			7,822	36,304
Total stockholder's equity			\$ 327,806	\$ 356,288
Long-term debt:				
Notes payable	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Amounts	
First Mortgage Bond Series CH	8.80%	July 1, 2022	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
First Mortgage Series A-1	7.38%	October 14, 2025	10,000	10,000
First Mortgage Series A-2	6.90%	December 15, 2025	10,000	10,000
First Mortgage Series A-3	6.94%	February 5, 2026	10,000	10,000
First Mortgage Series B-1	7.12%	April 7, 2028	20,000	20,000
Total long-term debt			75,000	75,000
Advance from KeySpan NewEngland, LLC			49,000	49,000
Total capitalization			\$ 451,806	\$ 480,288

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Nature of Operations

Colonial Gas Company d/b/a National Grid (“the Company”, “we”, “us” and “our”) is a gas distribution company engaged in the transportation and sale of natural gas to approximately 194,000 residential, commercial and industrial customers in northwest Boston and in Cape Cod.

The Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of KeySpan New England, LLC and an indirectly-owned subsidiary of KeySpan Corporation (“KeySpan”). KeySpan is a wholly-owned subsidiary of National Grid USA (“NGUSA”), a public utility holding company with regulated subsidiaries engaged in the generation of electricity and the transmission, distribution and sale of both natural gas and electricity. NGUSA is an indirectly-owned subsidiary of National Grid plc, a public limited company incorporated under the laws of England and Wales.

B. Basis of Presentation

The Company’s accounting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), including the accounting principles for rate-regulated entities, and are in accordance with the accounting requirements and ratemaking practices of the applicable regulatory authorities.

The accounts of the Company are maintained in accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts prescribed by the regulatory bodies having jurisdiction.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

C. Accounting for the Effects of Rate Regulation

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) and Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities (“DPU”) provide the final determination of the rates we charge our customers. In certain cases, the action of FERC or DPU would result in an accounting treatment different from that used by non-regulated companies to determine the rates we charge our customers. In this case, the Company is required to defer the recognition of costs (a Regulatory Asset) or the recognition of obligations (a Regulatory Liability) if it is probable that, through the rate-making process, there will be a corresponding increase or decrease in future rates.

In the event the Company determines that its net regulatory assets are not probable of recovery, the Company would be required to record an after-tax, non-cash charge against income for any remaining regulatory assets and liabilities, the resulting charge could be material to the Company’s reported financial condition and results of operations.

D. Revenue Recognition

Customers are generally billed on a monthly basis. Revenues include unbilled amounts related to the estimated gas usage that occurred from the most recent meter reading to the end of each month.

The Cost of Gas Adjustment Factor (“CGAF”) requires us to semi-annually adjust rates or, based on certain criteria, monthly adjust rates for firm gas sales in order to track changes in the cost of gas distributed, with an annual adjustment of subsequent rates made for any over or under recovery of actual costs incurred. As a result, the cost of firm gas that has been distributed, but is unbilled at the end of a period, is deferred to the period in which the gas is billed to customers. We recover the gas cost portion of bad debt write-offs through the CGAF.

The gas distribution business is influenced by seasonal weather conditions. Annual revenues are principally realized during the heating season (November through April) as a result of the large proportion of heating sales in these months. Accordingly, results of operations are most favorable in the first calendar quarter of the year, followed by the fourth calendar quarter. Operating losses are generally incurred in the second and third calendar quarters.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, 78% of the Company's revenue from the sale and delivery of gas was derived from residential customers, 20% from commercial customers and 2% from industrial customers. During the year ended March 31, 2010, 76% of the Company's revenue from the sale and delivery of gas was derived from residential customers, 21% from commercial customers and 3% from industrial customers.

E. Change in Accounting Estimate

The Company calculates its bad debt reserve on its customer accounts receivable (including purchased receivables) based on the bad debt write-offs compared to actual billed sales and transportation revenues (with a six month lag). All receivables over 360 days past due are 80% reserved. Certain identified "at risk" customers are 100% reserved. As of March 31, 2011, there were no "at risk" customers identified. Economic conditions and other factors are considered in addition to the historic write-off rate. The Company reduced the write-off rate for the year ended March, 31 2011, for improved economic conditions which were evidenced by improved collection patterns for overdue receivables. The aggregate effect of these changes in methodology for calculating the bad debt reserve resulted in a pre-tax benefit of \$0.6 million.

F. Gas in Storage and Materials

Gas in storage is recorded initially at average weighted cost and is expensed when delivered to customers as gas purchased for resale. Materials are recorded when purchased and expensed as used or capitalized into specific capital additions as utilized. The Company's policy is to write off obsolete materials.

Per current accounting guidance, the Company is required to re-value gas in storage and materials at the lower of cost or market. However, per rate orders in effect as issued by the DPU, the Company is permitted to pass through the cost of gas purchased for resale directly to the rate payers along with any applicable authorized delivery surcharge adjustments. Therefore, the value of gas in storage never falls below the cost to the Company. Gas costs passed through to the rate payers are subject to periodic regulatory approval and are reported periodically to the DPU.

G. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment is stated at original cost of construction. The cost of additions to property, plant and equipment and replacements of retirement units of property are capitalized. Costs include direct material, labor, overhead and allowance for funds used during construction ("AFUDC"). Replacement of minor items of property, plant and equipment and the cost of current repairs and maintenance are charged to expense. Whenever property, plant and equipment is retired, its original cost, together with the cost of removal, less salvage, is charged to accumulated depreciation.

H. Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price of a business combination over the fair value of tangible and intangible assets acquired, net of the fair value of liabilities assumed and the fair value of any non-controlling interest in the acquisition. The Company tests goodwill for impairment on an annual basis and, on an interim basis, when certain events or circumstances exist.

The goodwill impairment analysis is comprised of two steps. In the first step, the Company compares the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. The Company can consider both an income-based approach using projected discounted cash flows and a market-based approach using valuation multiples of comparable companies to determine fair value. The Company's estimate of fair value of each reporting unit is based on a number of subjective factors including: (i) the appropriate weighting of valuation approaches (income-based approach and market-based approach), (ii) estimates of the future revenue and cash flows, (iii) discount rate for estimated cash flows, (iv) selection of peer group companies for the market-based approach, (v) required levels of working capital, (vi) assumed terminal value, (vii) the time horizon of cash flow forecasts and (viii) control premium.

If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not considered impaired and no further analysis is required to be performed. If the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit exceeds the fair value, then a second step is performed to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying value of a reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then an impairment charge equal to the difference is recorded.

The Company utilizes a discounted cash flow approach incorporating its most recent business plan forecasts together with a projected terminal year calculation in the performance of the annual goodwill impairment test. Critical assumptions used in the Company's analysis include a discount rate of 5.9% and a terminal year growth rate of 2.4% based upon expected long-term average growth rates. Within its calculation of forecasted returns, the Company made certain assumptions with respect to the amount of pension and environmental costs to be recovered in future periods. Should the Company not continue to receive the same level of recovery in these areas, the result could be a reduction in fair value of the Company, which in turn could give rise to an impairment of goodwill. Our forecasts assume long-term recovery and rate of returns that are in line with historical levels within the utility industry. The resulting fair value of the annual analysis determined that no adjustment of the goodwill carrying value was required.

I. Income Taxes

Federal and state income taxes are recorded under the current accounting provisions for the accounting and reporting of income taxes. Income taxes have been computed utilizing the asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the tax consequences of temporary differences by applying enacted statutory tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of existing assets and liabilities.

Deferred income taxes reflect the tax effect of net operating losses, capital losses and general business credit carryforwards and the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial statement and income tax purposes, as determined under enacted tax laws and rates. The financial effect of changes in tax laws or rates is accounted for in the period of enactment. Deferred investment tax credits are amortized over the useful life of the underlying property. Additionally, the Company follows the current accounting guidance relating to uncertainty in income taxes which applies to all income tax positions reflected on the Company's balance sheets that have been included in previous tax returns or are expected to be included in future tax returns.

J. Derivatives

The Company employs derivative instruments to hedge a portion of our exposure to commodity price risk. Whenever hedge positions are in effect, the Company is exposed to credit risks in the event of non-performance by counter-parties to derivative contracts, as well as non-performance by the counter-parties of the transactions against which they are hedged. The Company believes the credit risk related to derivative instruments is no greater than that associated with the primary commodity contracts that they hedge.

Firm Gas Sales Derivative Instruments

We utilize derivative financial instruments to reduce the cash flow variability associated with the purchase price for a portion of future natural gas purchases. Our strategy is to minimize fluctuations in firm gas sales prices to our regulated firm gas sales customers. Because these derivative instruments are being employed to reduce the variability of the purchase price of natural gas to be sold to regulated firm gas sales customers, the accounting for these derivative instruments is subject to the current accounting guidance on accounting for the effects of rate regulation. Therefore, changes in the market value of these derivatives have been recorded as a regulatory asset or regulatory liability on the balance sheets. Gains or losses on the settlement of these contracts are initially deferred and then refunded to or collected from our firm gas sales customers during the appropriate winter heating season consistent with regulatory requirements.

Physically-Settled Commodity Derivative Instruments

Certain of our contracts for the physical purchase of natural gas are derivatives as defined by current accounting guidance. As such, these contracts are recorded on the balance sheets at fair market value. However, because such contracts were executed for the purchases of natural gas that is sold to regulated firm gas sales customers and pursuant to the requirements for accounting for the effects of rate regulation, changes in the fair market value of these contracts are recorded as a regulatory asset or regulatory liability on the balance sheets.

All of the Company's derivative contracts for the reported periods were eligible for regulated accounting treatment. As such, there were no charges to accumulated other comprehensive income for the reported periods.

K. Employee Benefits

The Company's employees are members of a consolidated defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefits plan ("PBOP") under KeySpan, (collectively "the Plans"). Benefits are based on years of service and compensation. The Company receives an allocation from KeySpan for the Company's portion of pension and other postretirement benefit costs which results in an intercompany payable. Consistent with past practice and as required by current guidance, KeySpan values its pension and other postretirement assets using the year-end market value of those assets. Benefit obligations are also measured at year-end.

L. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following is the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels as follows:

Level 1 — quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that a company has the ability to access as of the reporting date

Level 2 — inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are directly observable for the asset or liability or indirectly observable through corroboration with observable market data

Level 3 — unobservable inputs, such as internally-developed forward curves and pricing models for the asset or liability due to little or no market activity for the asset or liability with low correlation to observable market inputs

M. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Prospective Accounting Pronouncements

In the preceding twelve months, the FASB had issued numerous updates to GAAP. The Company has evaluated various guidelines and has either deemed them as not applicable based on its nature of operations or has implemented the new standards. A discussion of the more significant and relevant updates is as follows:

In June 2011, the FASB issued accounting guidance that eliminated the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. This update seeks to improve financial statement users' ability to understand the causes of an entity's change in financial position and results of operations. The Company is now required to consecutively present the statement of income and statement of comprehensive income and also present reclassification adjustments from other comprehensive income to net income on the face of the financial statements. This update does not change the items that are reported in other comprehensive income or any reclassification of items to net income. Additionally, the update does not change an entity's option to present components of other comprehensive income net of or before related tax effects. This guidance is effective for public companies for fiscal years, and interim periods within that year, beginning after December 15, 2011, and it is to be applied retrospectively. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect adoption of this guidance to have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2011, the FASB issued accounting guidance that substantially amended existing guidance with respect to the fair value measurement topic ("the Topic"). The guidance seeks to amend the Topic in order to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in GAAP and International Financial Reporting

Standards. Consequently, the guidance changes the wording used to describe many of the requirements in GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements as well as changing specific applications of the Topic. Some of the amendments clarify the FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements. Other amendments change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements including, but not limited to, fair value measurement of a portfolio of financial instruments, fair value measurement of premiums and discounts and additional disclosures about fair value measurements. This guidance is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The early adoption of this guidance is not permitted and can only be applied prospectively. The Company is currently determining the potential impact of the guidance on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In March 2011, the FASB issued updated guidance over the agreements between two entities to transfer financial assets. Prior to this update, an entity could recognize this transfer when it was deemed that the transferee had effective control over the transferred asset, specifically whether the entity has the ability to repurchase substantially the same asset based on the transferor's collateral. This accounting update evaluates the effectiveness of the entity's control by focusing on the transferor's contractual rights and obligations as opposed to the entity's ability to perform on those rights and obligations. This update also eliminates the requirement to demonstrate that the transferor possesses adequate collateral to fund substantially all the cost of purchasing replacement financial assets. This guidance is treated prospectively and effective for annual or interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect adoption of this guidance to have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2010, the FASB issued an accounting update to address inconsistencies in the application of accounting guidance related to reporting pro forma revenue and earnings of business combinations. This update is effective for entities who entered into an acquisition and whose acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. This disclosure requires revenue and earnings of the combined entity to be disclosed as though the combination had occurred at the beginning of the prior reporting period. The supplemental disclosure related to this activity now is required to provide a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2010, the FASB issued an accounting update that modified the goodwill impairment procedures necessary for entities with zero or negative carrying value. The FASB created this guidance to require entities to complete Step 2 of the impairment test, which requires the entity to assess whether or not it was likely that impairment existed throughout the period. To do this, an entity should consider whether there were adverse qualitative factors throughout the period that would contribute to impairment. This update is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2010, the FASB issued updated guidance that provides for scope exceptions applicable to financial instrument contracts with embedded credit derivative features. This FASB guidance is effective for financial statements issued for interim periods beginning after June 15, 2010. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates new and existing transactions and agreements to determine whether they are derivatives, or have provisions that meet the characteristics of embedded derivatives. Those transactions designated for any of the elective accounting treatments for derivatives must meet specific, restrictive criteria, both at the time of designation and on an ongoing basis. None of the financial instrument contracts or credit agreements the Company has entered were identified and designated as meeting the criteria for derivative or embedded derivative treatment. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2010, the FASB issued an amendment to certain recognition and disclosure requirements for events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The amendment applies to both issued financial statements and financial statements revised as a result of either a correction of an error or retrospective application of GAAP. The new provisions require non-public entities to disclose both the date that the financial statements were issued, or available to be issued, and the date the revised financial statements were issued or available to be issued. The amendment is effective for interim or annual periods

ending after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In January 2010, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting guidance for fair value measurements that will provide for additional disclosures about (a) the different classes of assets and liabilities measured at fair value, (b) the valuation techniques and inputs used, (c) the activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, and (d) the transfers between Levels 1, 2, and 3. This FASB guidance is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting and disclosure requirements for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities. The objective of the amendment is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness, and comparability of the information that a reporting entity provides in its financial statements about a transfer of financial assets, and effects of a transfer on its financial position, financial performance and cash flows, and transferor's continuing involvement, if any, in transferred financial assets. The new provisions must be applied as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period beginning after November 15, 2009 and are to be applied to transfers occurring on or after the date of adoption. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting and disclosure requirements for the consolidation of variable interest entities. The objective of the amendment is to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. The amendment requires an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise's variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. The new requirements shall be effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2009, the FASB issued accounting guidance establishing the general standards of accounting for the disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. In particular, this FASB guidance requires enhanced disclosures about (a) events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements in the period after the balance sheet date, (b) circumstances under which an entity should recognize such events, and (c) date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events, including the basis for that date, and whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or available to be issued. The FASB guidance is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted this standard for the reporting period beginning April 1, 2010 and noted no impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows due to the adoption of this standard.

Note 2. Rates and Regulatory

The following table presents the Company's regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	March 31,	
	2011	2010
<i>Regulatory assets – current</i>		
Recovery of acquisition premium	\$ 4,717	\$ -
Postretirement benefit costs	4,759	4,389
Environmental costs	137	137
Derivative contracts	1,230	6,355
Other	1,615	3,065
Total current regulatory assets	12,458	13,946
<i>Regulatory assets – non-current</i>		
Recovery of acquisition premium	226,808	-
Postretirement benefit costs	33,063	34,234
Environmental costs	6,630	4,957
Derivative contracts	103	-
Other	7,130	2,199
Total non-current regulatory assets	273,734	41,390
Total regulatory assets	286,192	55,336
<i>Regulatory liabilities - current</i>		
Miscellaneous liabilities	(1,241)	(831)
Derivative liabilities	(223)	(4,303)
Total current regulatory liabilities	(1,464)	(5,134)
<i>Regulatory liabilities - non-current</i>		
Miscellaneous liabilities	(5,276)	-
Derivative liabilities	(34)	-
Total non-current regulatory liabilities	(5,310)	-
Total regulatory liabilities	(6,774)	(5,134)
Subtotal	279,418	50,202
Removal costs recovered	(73,575)	(71,799)
Net regulatory assets (liabilities)	\$ 205,843	\$ (21,597)

The regulatory items above are not included in the utility rate base. We record carrying charges, as appropriate, on the regulatory items from which cash expenditures have been made and are subject to recovery or for which cash has been collected and is subject to refund. Carrying charges are not recorded on items for which expenditures have not yet been made. We anticipate recovering these costs in our gas rates concurrently with future cash expenditures. If recovery is not concurrent with the cash expenditures, we will record the appropriate level of carrying charges. Deferred gas cost of approximately \$67.1 million and \$55.6 million as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively, are reflected in the balance sheet.

Rate Matters

In April 2010, the Company with its affiliate, Boston Gas Company (“Boston Gas”), filed an initial request with DPU for a rate increase of \$26.8 million, which was revised to \$26.3 million in September 2010. In November 2010, the DPU issued an order approving a revenue increase of \$16.5 million based upon a 9.75% return on equity and 50% equity ratio. In May 2011, the Company made its first filing with the DPU for recovery of capital costs related to infrastructure replacement. The reported revenue requirement associated with these capital costs is \$0.4 million. Since this amount is below the ordered cap of 1% of the Company's prior year total revenues, the entire amount is eligible for recovery.

The DPU order also provided for a revenue decoupling mechanism to take effect as of November 1, 2010. The revenue decoupling mechanism applies to the Company's firm rate classes, excluding gas lamps and negotiated contracts and permits the Company to reconcile actual revenue per customer to target revenue per customer for the affected customer classes on a seasonal basis. The revenue decoupling mechanism is designed to eliminate the disincentive for the Company to implement energy efficiency programs. At March 31, 2011, the deferred amount related to the decoupling mechanism was a payable of \$4.9 million which is fully refundable to the affected customer classes.

In November 2010, the Company filed two motions in response to the DPU order (1) in its motion for recalculation, the Company has requested that the DPU recalculate certain adjustments that it made in determining the \$16.5 million increases approved in its order. If approved, the rate increase for the Company would increase by an additional \$5.5 million to a total of approximately \$22 million (2) in its motion for reconsideration and clarification, the Company is seeking reconsideration of the DPU's disposition of four issues it believes were based on legal error or lack of substantial evidence, and clarification on three non-financial matters. If the Company is unsuccessful with its request for reconsideration, it could appeal the matter to the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court. The motions remain pending at the DPU.

In September 2010, the Company filed a request with the DPU for recovery of \$0.7 million in exogenous costs associated with the lost base revenue ("LBR") covering the period May 1, 2009 through April 30, 2010. This exogenous cost is associated with the LBR resulting from the implementation of Company sponsored demand side management programs prior to 2000. The matter is pending before the DPU.

Other Regulatory Matters

In November 2008, the Company, together with Boston Gas, filed a combined request for approval of a three year gas portfolio optimization agreement with ConocoPhillips, which was approved in April 2009 but limited the term to a one year period. This agreement was extended for one additional year upon the approval of DPU in April 2010. In November 2010, a combined request was filed for approval of a new gas portfolio optimization co-management agreement with BG Energy Merchants, LLC for a term of two years commencing in April 2011, which was rejected by DPU in May 2011. Since the former ConocoPhillips agreement terminated as of March 31, 2011, the Company has been managing and optimizing its assets on its own while the DPU proceeding was pending. The company is presently evaluating its options with respect to portfolio management in light of the DPU's rejection of the proposed co-management agreement.

In November 2008, FERC commenced an audit of NGUSA, including its service companies and other affiliates in the National Grid holding company system. The audit evaluated our compliance with: 1) cross-subsidization restrictions on affiliate transactions; 2) accounting, recordkeeping and reporting requirements; 3) preservation of records requirements for holding companies and service companies; and 4) Uniform System of Accounts for centralized service companies. The final audit report from the FERC was received in February 2011. In April 2011, NGUSA replied to the FERC and outlined its plan to address the findings in the report which we are currently in the process of implementing. None of the findings had a material impact on the financial statements.

Green Communities Act

The Company's Energy Efficiency ("EE") plan, together with its affiliate Boston Gas, for the calendar years 2010 through 2012 significantly expands EE programs for customers with a concomitant increase in spending. The combined budget, exclusive of lost base revenue (revenues reduced as a result of installed EE measures) for the calendar years 2010 through 2012 is \$203.4 million. In addition to cost recovery, the Company has the opportunity to earn a performance incentive. In March 2011, the DPU approved a combined performance incentive for 2009 for \$1.0 million, net of taxes. The DPU also approved an increase to the 2009 EE budget of approximately \$8.8 million. The Company's request for recovery of lost base revenue for 2008 and 2009 is pending before the DPU.

Note 3. Employee Benefits

Summary

The Company participates with certain other KeySpan subsidiaries in a non-contributory defined benefit plan and a PBOP.

The pension plan is a defined benefit plan which provides union employees, as well as non-union employees hired before January 1, 2011, with a retirement benefit.

Supplemental nonqualified, non-contributory executive programs provide additional defined pension benefits for certain executives.

PBOPs provide health care and life insurance coverage to eligible retired employees. Eligibility is based on age and length of service requirements and in most cases, retirees must contribute to the cost of their coverage.

Pension Plans

The Company participates in the pension plans with certain other KeySpan subsidiaries. Pension plan assets are commingled and cannot be allocated to an individual company. Pension costs are allocated to the Company. The pension plans have a net underfunded obligation of \$643.9 million at March 31, 2011 and \$740.2 million at March 31, 2010.

Certain current year changes in the funded status of the KeySpan plan are allocated to the Company through an intercompany payable account. The Company is subject to certain deferral accounting requirements mandated by the DPU for pension expense. Any variation between actual costs and amounts used to establish rates are deferred and collected from or refunded to customers in subsequent periods. Any deferral is recorded as either a regulatory asset or regulatory liability on the balance sheets. Gross pension expense allocated to the Company was approximately \$3.1 million and \$5.1 million for the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

Postretirement Health Care Benefits

The PBOP has not been merged with other KeySpan plans and therefore, continues to remain a separate plan of the Company.

The Company is subject to deferral accounting requirements, as previously ordered by the DPU, for PBOP costs. Any variation between actual postretirement health care costs and amounts used to establish rates are deferred and collected from or refunded to customers in subsequent periods through an adjustment clause. Any deferral is recorded as either a regulatory asset or regulatory liability on the balance sheet.

The net costs for postretirement health care costs charged to expense for the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 are as follows:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Service cost-benefits earned during the year	\$ 300	\$ 138
Interest cost on benefit obligation	1,438	1,138
Expected return on plan assets	(66)	(58)
Amortization of prior service cost	189	-
Amortization of net actuarial loss	315	52
Total health care cost	\$ 2,176	\$ 1,270

The following table sets forth the change in benefit obligation and plan assets and reconciliation of funded status of our health care plans and amounts recorded on the balance sheets as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ (20,529)	\$ (16,162)
Service cost	(300)	(138)
Interest cost	(1,438)	(1,138)
Amendments	(2,748)	(116)
Actuarial loss	(3,334)	(1,316)
Benefits paid	685	23
Other	-	(1,682)
Benefit obligation at end of year	<u>(27,664)</u>	<u>(20,529)</u>
Change in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	1,063	424
Acquisition	-	411
Actual return on plan assets	113	246
Employer contributions	651	5
Benefits paid	(685)	(23)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	<u>1,142</u>	<u>1,063</u>
Funded status	<u>\$ (26,522)</u>	<u>\$ (19,466)</u>
Amounts recognized in the balance sheets consist of:		
Current liabilities	\$ (826)	\$ (790)
Noncurrent liabilities	(25,696)	(18,676)
Total	<u>\$ (26,522)</u>	<u>\$ (19,466)</u>
Amounts recognized in regulatory assets		
Net loss	\$ (6,069)	\$ (3,096)
Prior service cost	(2,674)	(116)
Total	<u>\$ (8,743)</u>	<u>\$ (3,212)</u>
Estimated amount of regulatory assets to be recognized in next fiscal year through net periodic postretirement cost:		
Net loss	\$ (298)	\$ (182)
Prior service cost	(474)	(17)
Total	<u>\$ (772)</u>	<u>\$ (199)</u>

A one-percentage-point increase or decrease in the assumed health care trend rate would have the following effects:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	One-Percentage-Point Increase	One-Percentage-Point Decrease
Net periodic healthcare expense	\$ 210	\$ (176)
Postretirement benefit obligation	\$ 3,309	\$ (2,757)

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid in the years indicated:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	Gross Benefit Payments
Year Ended March 31,	
2012	\$ 1,305
2013	1,346
2014	1,377
2015	1,472
2016	1,531
Thereafter	8,457

Health Care Reform Act

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 became law. These laws included provisions that resulted in the repeal, with effect from 2012, of the deduction for federal income tax purposes of the portion of the cost of an employer's retiree prescription drug coverage for which the employer received a benefit under the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003. The adoption of those acts did not have an impact on the Company's net income.

No regulatory asset has been established with respect to this charge as any potential future recovery from customers of the increased cost of the Company's retiree health plans that results from the loss of this tax deduction has not been agreed under the terms of the Company's current rate plans which predated the passage of the legislation.

Workforce Reduction Program

In connection with National Grid plc's acquisition of KeySpan, National Grid plc and KeySpan offered 673 non-union employees a voluntary early retirement offer ("VERO") in an effort to reduce the workforce. Eligible employees must have been working in a targeted area as of April 13, 2007 and be at least 52 years of age with seven or more years of service as of September 30, 2007. For eligible employees who have elected to accept the VERO offer, National Grid plc and KeySpan have the right to retain that employee for up to three years before VERO payments are made. An employee who accepts the VERO offer but elects to terminate employment with National Grid plc or KeySpan prior to the three year period, without consent of National Grid plc or KeySpan, forfeits all rights to VERO payments. The VERO is completed and the Company has accrued approximately \$2.9 million.

Note 4. Debt

Long-term Obligations

All of the Company's long-term debt is comprised of first mortgage bonds which are collateralized by utility property. The Company's first mortgage bond indenture includes, among other provisions, limitations on the issuance of long-term debt, leases and the payment of dividends from retained earnings.

Advance from KeySpan New England, LLC

At March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 the Company had a \$49.0 million advance payable due to KeySpan New England LLC, with an interest rate of 4.7% and 7.6%, respectively.

Recapitalization

During the year ended March 31, 2010, the Company restructured its total capitalization. Additional paid-in capital was increased \$15.0 million and concurrently the outstanding advance from KeySpan was reduced by \$15.0 million. The recapitalization resulted in a more optimal and cost efficient capital structure for the Company. Interest rates associated with the moneypool are designed to approximate the cost of third-party short-term borrowings.

A dividend payment of \$40.0 million was made during the year ended March 31, 2011 to the Company's parent, KeySpan New England, LLC.

Note 5. Property, Plant and Equipment

At March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, property, plant and equipment at cost and accumulated depreciation and amortization are as follows:

(in thousands of dollars)

	March 31,	
	2011	2010
Plant and machinery	\$ 567,100	\$ 545,167
Land and buildings	27,188	26,936
Assets in construction	5,320	6,468
Software and other intangibles	13,557	13,556
Total	613,166	592,127
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(201,858)	(187,146)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 411,308	\$ 404,981

AFUDC

The Company capitalizes AFUDC as part of construction costs. AFUDC represents an allowance for the cost of funds used to finance construction and includes a debt and equity component. AFUDC is capitalized in "property, plant and equipment" with offsetting credits to "other interest including affiliated interest" for the debt component. The Company is permitted to recover prudently incurred capital costs through their ultimate inclusion in rate base and in the provision for depreciation. The composite AFUDC rate for March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 was 3.6% and 3.0%, respectively. AFUDC capitalized during the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 was \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Depreciation

Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis at rates designed to amortize the cost of depreciable property, plant and equipment over their estimated remaining useful lives. The composite depreciation rate, expressed as a percentage of the average depreciable property in service, at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 is approximately 3.5% and 3.6%, respectively. The cost of repair and minor replacement and renewal of property is charged to maintenance expense.

Note 6. Goodwill

The following table represents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Goodwill, beginning of year	\$ 199,001	\$ 199,001
Recovery of acquisition premium and other	<u>(144,437)</u>	<u>-</u>
Goodwill, end of year	<u>\$ 54,564</u>	<u>\$ 199,001</u>

The Company was acquired by Eastern Enterprises, Inc. (“Eastern”) in 1998 pursuant to a business combination transaction (“the Eastern Merger”). Subsequent to the Eastern Merger, the Company and Eastern entered into business combinations with KeySpan in 2000 and then with NGUSA in 2007. In 1998, Eastern and the Company applied for recovery from the Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy of acquisition premium paid pursuant to the Eastern Merger of approximately \$224.0 million, net of tax. The Company and Eastern agreed to a ten-year rate freeze as well as reduction of the price of burner-tip gas for rate-payers for recovery of certain costs including the recovery of approximately \$369.0 million of acquisition premium, pre-tax. On November 1, 2010 (“the Effective Date”) the DPU issued DPU 10-55 which authorized recovery of \$234.8 million of acquisition premium, pre-tax. The Company recorded a regulatory asset of that amount and recorded corresponding credits to a newly created deferred tax liability of \$93.3 million and a reclassification of \$141.5 million to reduce goodwill. The Company will amortize this amount over 30 years as prescribed by DPU 10-55. Other adjustments to goodwill from the Company’s last rate filing were approximately \$2.9 million.

Note 7. Income Taxes

Following is a summary of the components of federal and state income tax expense (benefit):

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
<i>Components of federal and state income taxes:</i>		
Current tax expense (benefit):		
Federal	\$ 2,738	\$ (5,524)
State	(28)	188
Total current tax expense (benefit)	<u>2,710</u>	<u>(5,336)</u>
Deferred tax (benefit) expense:		
Federal	283	5,782
State	(3,568)	1,099
Total deferred tax (benefit) expense	<u>(3,285)</u>	<u>6,881</u>
Investment tax credits ⁽¹⁾	<u>(203)</u>	<u>(407)</u>
Total income tax (benefit) expense	<u>\$ (778)</u>	<u>\$ 1,138</u>

⁽¹⁾ Investment tax credits ("ITC") are being deferred and amortized over the depreciable life of the property giving rise to the credits.

Income tax expense for the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 varied from the amount computed by applying the statutory rate to income before income taxes. A reconciliation of expected federal income tax expense, using the federal statutory rate of 35%, to the Company's actual income tax expense for the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 is presented in the following table:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Computed tax	\$ 3,759	\$ 1,957
<i>Increase (reduction) including those attributable to flow-through of certain tax adjustments:</i>		
Intercompany tax allocation	(2,354)	(685)
State income taxes (including reserve changes), net of federal benefit	(2,338)	836
Depreciation differences not normalized	348	-
Investment tax credit	(203)	(407)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(52)	(66)
Provision to return adjustments	22	(549)
Other	40	52
Total	<u>(4,537)</u>	<u>(819)</u>
Federal and state income taxes	<u>\$ (778)</u>	<u>\$ 1,138</u>

Significant components of the Company's net deferred tax assets and liabilities at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 are presented in the following table:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	March 31,	
	2011	2010
Deferred gas costs	\$ 27,474	\$ 23,159
Pensions, other postretirement benefits ("OPEB") and other employee benefits	22,845	24,696
Future federal benefit on state taxes	8,276	2,672
Reserve - environmental	3,710	2,913
Regulatory liabilities - other	1,536	-
Allowance for uncollectible accounts	1,299	1,877
Unbilled revenue	690	(467)
Other items	795	1,832
Total deferred tax assets ⁽¹⁾	66,625	56,682
Regulatory assets - merger savings	(96,083)	-
Property related differences	(93,057)	(87,013)
Regulatory assets - pension and OPEB	(15,696)	(18,602)
Regulatory assets - environmental	(2,788)	(2,132)
Unamortized debt discount or premium	(669)	(729)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(208,293)	(108,476)
Net accumulated deferred income tax liability	(141,668)	(51,794)
Investment tax credit	(657)	(860)
Net accumulated deferred income tax liability and investment tax credit	\$ (142,325)	\$ (52,654)
Current portion of net deferred tax asset	30,610	29,191
Non-current portion of net deferred income tax liability and investment tax credit	(172,935)	(81,845)
Net accumulated deferred income tax liability and investment tax credit	\$ (142,325)	\$ (52,654)

⁽¹⁾ There were no valuation allowances for deferred tax assets at March 31, 2011 or March 31, 2010.

Subsequent to the KeySpan acquisition by NGUSA on August 24, 2007, KeySpan and its subsidiaries became members of the National Grid Holdings, Inc. ("NGHI") and subsidiaries consolidated federal income tax return. The Company is a member of this consolidated group. The Company has joint and several liability for any potential assessments against the consolidated group.

As of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company's current federal income taxes balances payable to its parent are \$14.1 million and \$15.1 million, respectively.

The Company adopted the provisions of the current accounting guidance which clarifies the accounting and disclosure of uncertain tax positions in the financial statements. The guidance provides that the financial effects of a tax position shall initially be recognized when it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the position will be sustained upon examination, assuming the position will be audited and the taxing authority has full knowledge of all relevant information.

As of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company's unrecognized tax benefits totaled \$14.6 million and \$19.9 million, respectively, of which none and \$1.2 million would affect the effective tax rate, if recognized.

The following table reconciles the changes to the Company's unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010:

Reconciliation of Unrecognized Tax Benefits <i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	Years Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Beginning balance	\$ 19,928	\$ 15,235
Gross decreases, net related to prior years	(3,486)	-
Gross increases, net related to current year	860	4,693
Settlements with tax authorities	(841)	-
Reductions due to lapse of statute of limitations	(1,893)	-
Ending balance	<u>\$ 14,568</u>	<u>\$ 19,928</u>

As of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company has accrued for interest related to unrecognized tax benefits of \$1.9 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. During the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company recorded interest income of \$2.1 million and interest expense of \$1.2 million, respectively. The Company recognizes accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense or interest income and related penalties, if applicable, in operating expenses. No penalties were recognized during the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010.

In November 2010, KeySpan and its subsidiaries reached a settlement agreement with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") on outstanding tax matters for calendar tax years 2000 through 2006. The Company was a member of the KeySpan and its subsidiaries consolidated federal income tax return for these years and was obligated to pay \$0.6 million to KeySpan for its share of the settlement pursuant to the tax sharing agreement. In connection with the settlement, the Company recognized no change in tax expense for the differences between the amounts settled upon with the IRS and the tax positions previously accrued. Resolution of tax matters for these years with state and local tax authorities is outstanding. The tax returns for the short year ended August 24, 2007, as well as the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008 through March 31, 2011 remain subject to examination by the IRS.

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 the Company is a member of the National Grid USA Service Company Massachusetts unitary group. The tax returns for these years remain subject to examination by the State of Massachusetts. Prior to filing as a member of this unitary group, the Company filed on a separate basis. The separate tax returns for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2009 remain subject to the examination by the State of Massachusetts.

Note 8. Derivative Contracts

Physical Derivatives

Current accounting guidance for derivative instruments establishes criteria that must be satisfied in order for option contracts, forward contracts with optionality features, or contracts that combine a forward contract and a purchased option contract to qualify as normal purchase and normal sales. Certain contracts for the physical purchase of natural gas do not qualify for this exception. Because these contracts are for the purchase of natural gas sold to regulated firm gas sales customers, the accounting for these contracts follows the accounting guidance for rate-regulated enterprises. Additionally, the Company has gas transportation service agreements with large generating facilities that contain embedded derivatives. These contracts and related embedded derivatives also follow the accounting guidance for rate-regulated enterprises. The fair value of these derivatives was immaterial at March 31, 2011 and a liability of \$3.9 million at March 31, 2010.

Financial Derivatives

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to reduce the cash flow variability associated with the purchase price for a portion of future natural gas purchases. Our strategy is to minimize fluctuations in firm gas sales prices to regulated firm gas sales customers in our service territory. The accounting for these derivative instruments follows the accounting guidance for rate-regulated enterprises. Therefore, the fair value of these derivatives is recorded as a current or deferred asset or liability, with offsetting positions recorded as regulatory assets or regulatory liabilities on the balance sheets. As these derivative contracts are eligible for rate-regulated accounting treatment, changes in fair value have no impact on the statement of income. Gains or losses upon settlement of these contracts are initially deferred and then refunded to or collected from our firm gas sales customers consistent with regulatory requirements. The fair value of these derivative instruments was a liability of \$1.1 million and \$5.9 million as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

The following are commodity volumes associated with those derivative contracts as of March 31, 2011:

<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Physicals	Gas (dths)	50
	Gas swaps (dths)	4,474
Financials	Gas options (dths)	-
	Gas (dths)	4,524

Other Derivative Instruments

Additionally, the Company employs a limited number of derivative instruments to hedge a small portion of its risk associated with storage optimization. These financial derivative instruments do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment or the accounting guidance for rate-regulated enterprises. The fair value of these contracts was immaterial at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010. We use market quoted forward prices to value these contracts.

The following table presents the Company's derivative contract assets and (liabilities) on the balance sheets:

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments - Balance Sheets					
<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010		March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Regulated Contracts					
<u>Gas Contracts:</u>					
Gas swaps contract - current asset	\$ 223	2	Gas swaps contract - current liability	(1,229)	(5,941)
Gas purchase contract - current asset	-	4,301	Gas purchase contract - current liability	(1)	(414)
<i>Current asset</i>	<u>223</u>	<u>4,303</u>	<i>Current liability</i>	<u>(1,230)</u>	<u>(6,355)</u>
Gas swaps contract - deferred asset	34	-	Gas swaps contract - deferred liability	(103)	-
<i>Deferred asset</i>	<u>34</u>	<u>-</u>	<i>Deferred liability</i>	<u>(103)</u>	<u>-</u>
<i>Gas subtotal</i>	<u>257</u>	<u>4,303</u>		<u>(1,333)</u>	<u>(6,355)</u>
Unregulated Contracts					
<u>Gas Contracts:</u>					
Gas swaps contract - current asset	5	28	Gas swaps contract - current liability	(60)	-
<i>Current asset</i>	<u>5</u>	<u>28</u>	<i>Current liability</i>	<u>(60)</u>	<u>-</u>
Total	\$ 262	\$ 4,331	Total	\$ (1,393)	\$ (6,355)

The following table presents the change in value and the asset and (liability) balances of the Company's derivative contracts. The change in fair value of the regulated contracts exactly corresponds to offsetting regulatory assets and liabilities. As a result, the changes in fair value of derivative contracts and their offsetting regulatory assets and liabilities had no impact on the statements of income. The change in value of the non-regulated contracts impacted the statements of income, and is included in "other income".

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments			
<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	Year to Date	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
	Movement		
Regulated Contracts			
<u>Gas Contracts:</u>			
Gas swaps contract - regulatory asset	\$ 4,609	\$ (1,332)	\$ (5,941)
Gas purchase contract - regulatory asset	413	(1)	(414)
Gas swaps contract - regulatory liability	255	257	2
Gas purchase contract - regulatory liability	(4,301)	-	4,301
<i>Gas subtotal</i>	<u>976</u>	<u>(1,076)</u>	<u>(2,052)</u>
Unregulated Contracts			
<u>Gas Contracts:</u>			
Electric swaps contract - other revenues	(83)	(55)	28
<i>Electric subtotal</i>	<u>(83)</u>	<u>(55)</u>	<u>28</u>
Total	\$ 893	\$ (1,131)	\$ (2,024)

The aggregate fair value of the Company's derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a liability position at March 31, 2011, for which the Company does not post any collateral in the normal course of business, is \$1.2 million. If the Company's credit rating were to be downgraded by one notch, it would not be required to post any additional collateral. If the Company's credit rating were to be downgraded by three notches, it would be required to post \$1.4 million additional collateral to its counterparties.

Credit and Collateral

Derivative contracts are primarily used to manage exposure to market risk arising from changes in commodity prices and interest rates. In the event of non-performance by a counterparty to a derivative contract, the desired impact may not be achieved. The risk of counterparty non-performance is generally considered a credit risk and is actively managed by assessing each counterparty credit profile and negotiating appropriate levels of collateral and credit support. In instances where the counterparties' credit quality has declined, or credit exposure exceeds certain levels, we may limit our credit exposure by restricting new transactions with counterparties, requiring additional collateral or credit support and negotiating the early termination of certain agreements. At March 31, 2011, the Company had no collateral associated with outstanding derivative contracts.

Note 9. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following is the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels as follows:

The Company currently has no Level 1 assets or liabilities for its derivative contracts.

The Company's Level 2 fair value derivative instruments primarily consist of over-the-counter ("OTC") gas swaps and forward physical gas deals where market data for pricing inputs is observable. Level 2 pricing inputs are obtained from the New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") and Intercontinental Exchange ("ICE"), except cases when ICE publishes seasonal averages or there were no transactions within the last seven days. During periods prior to March 31, 2011, Level 2 pricing inputs were obtained from the NYMEX and Platts M2M (industry standard, non-exchange-based editorial commodity forward curves) when it can be verified by available market data from ICE based on transactions within the last seven days. Level 2 derivative instruments may utilize discounting based on quoted interest rate curve as well as have liquidity reserve calculated based on bid/ask spread. Substantially all of these price curves are observable in the marketplace throughout at least 95.0% of the remaining contractual quantity, or they could be constructed from market observable curves with correlation coefficients of 0.95 or higher.

Level 3 fair value derivative instruments primarily consist of our gas OTC forwards, options, and physical gas transactions where pricing inputs are unobservable, as well as other complex and structured transactions. Complex or structured transactions can introduce the need for internally-developed models based on reasonable assumptions. Industry-standard valuation techniques, such as the Black-Scholes pricing model, Monte Carlo simulation, and Financial Engineering Associates libraries are used for valuing such instruments. The value is categorized as Level 3. Level 3 is also applied in cases when forward curves are internally developed, extrapolated or derived from market observable curve with correlation coefficients less than 0.95, or optionality is present, or non-economical assumptions are made.

The following table presents assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on the Company's balance sheet on a recurring basis and their level within the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2011:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets				
Derivative contracts	\$ -	\$ 262	\$ -	\$ 262
Total assets	-	262	-	262
Liabilities				
Derivative contracts	-	(1,392)	(1)	(1,393)
Total liabilities	-	(1,392)	(1)	(1,393)
Net liability balance	\$ -	\$ (1,130)	\$ (1)	\$ (1,131)

Year to Date Level 3 Movement Table

The following table presents the fair value reconciliation of Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended March 31, 2011:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	
Balance at March 31, 2010	\$ 3,887
Total gains and losses	
included in regulatory assets and liabilities	(2,965)
Purchases	(923)
Balance at March 31, 2011	<u><u>\$ (1)</u></u>
The amount of realized gains and (losses) included in net income	
attributed to the change in unrealized gains and (losses) related to	
derivative assets and liabilities at March 31, 2011	
	<u><u>\$ -</u></u>

The Company transfers amounts from Level 2 to Level 3 as of the beginning of each period and amounts from Level 3 to Level 2 as of the end of each period.

Long-term debt is based on quoted market prices where available or calculated prices based on the remaining cash flows of the underlying bond discounted at the Company's incremental borrowing rate. The Company's balance sheets reflect the long-term debt at carrying value. The fair value of this debt at March 31, 2011 is \$93.8 million.

Note 10. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Matters

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings arising out of the ordinary course of its business. Except as described below, the Company does not consider any of such proceedings to be material to its business or likely to result in a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows.

Environmental Matters

Within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, we are aware of numerous former MGP sites and related facilities within the existing or former service territories of the Company. Agreements with other utilities are in place to share environmental cleanup costs on a number of these sites.

We estimated the remaining costs of these MGP-related environmental cleanup activities were \$9.2 million and \$6.9 million as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively, which have been accrued by us as a reasonable estimate of costs for known sites. However, remediation costs for each site may be materially higher than estimated, depending upon changing technologies and regulatory standards, selected end use for each site, and actual environmental conditions encountered. Expenditures incurred to date with respect to these MGP-related activities total \$17.1 million and \$15.4 million at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

By rate orders, the DPU provided for the recovery of site investigation and remediation costs and accordingly, at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, we have reflected a regulatory asset of \$7.0 million and \$5.1 million, respectively for the MGP sites.

Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company has various asset retirement obligations associated with its gas distribution facilities. These obligations have remained substantially unchanged from March 31, 2010, except for normal accretion adjustments and costs incurred. Generally, our largest asset retirement obligations relate to: (i) legal requirements to cut (disconnect from the gas distribution system), purge (clean of natural gas and PCB contaminants) and cap gas mains within our gas distribution and transmission system when mains are retired in place, or dispose of sections of gas main when removed from the pipeline system, (ii) cleaning and removal requirements associated with storage tanks containing waste oil and other waste contaminants, and (iii) legal requirements to remove asbestos upon major renovation or demolition of structures and facilities. These obligations total \$2.0 million and \$1.8 million at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

The following asset retirement obligations were recorded on the balance sheets at their estimated present values as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010:

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	March 31,	
	2011	2010
Asbestos removal	\$ 108	\$ 102
Tanks removal and cleaning	6	131
Main cutting, purging and capping	<u>1,845</u>	<u>1,615</u>
Total asset retirement obligations	<u>\$ 1,959</u>	<u>\$ 1,848</u>

The Company recorded \$0.1 million of asset retirement obligation accretion expense for each of the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010.

Fixed Charges Under Firm Contracts

The Company has entered into various contracts for gas delivery, storage and supply services. The Company is liable for these payments regardless of the level of service it requires from third parties. Such charges are currently recovered from utility customers as gas costs.

<i>(in thousands of dollars)</i>	
Year Ended March 31,	
2012	\$ 31,716
2013	28,533
2014	20,571
2015	13,374
2016	11,694
Thereafter	<u>50,838</u>
Total	<u>\$ 156,726</u>

Note 11. Related Party Transactions

Moneypool

The Company is engaged in various transactions with KeySpan, NGUSA and certain affiliates. Generally, the various affiliates of KeySpan do not maintain separate cash balances. Financing for the Company's working capital and gas inventory needs are obtained through participation in the KeySpan moneypool for regulated entities. The Company is limited in its participation in the moneypool and is authorized to borrow funds as needed.

The moneypool is funded by operating funds from moneypool participants. In addition, KeySpan has the ability to borrow up to \$3.0 billion from National Grid plc for working capital needs including funding of the moneypool, if necessary. We had an outstanding moneypool receivable position of \$20.5 million and \$31.3 million at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively. Interest rates associated with the moneypool are designed to approximate the cost of third-party short-term borrowings. Lenders to the moneypool earn interest while borrowers are charged interest. The average interest rate for moneypool was 1.2% and 0.9% for the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively. All costs related to the gas inventory borrowings are recoverable from customers.

Advances to/from Affiliates

NGUSA and its affiliates also provide us with various services, including executive and administrative, customer services, financial (including accounting, auditing, risk management, tax, treasury/finance), human resources (including pension funding), information technology, legal, and strategic planning. The costs of these services are charged to the Company via intercompany billings and generally settled through the moneypool on a monthly basis. At March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, the Company had a moneypool receivable of \$20.5 million and \$31.3 million, respectively, and an intercompany payable of \$43.8 million and \$39.2 million, respectively, for a total net intercompany payable position of \$23.3 million and \$7.9 million, respectively.

Service Company Charges

The affiliated service companies of NGUSA provide certain services to the Company at their cost. The service company costs are generally allocated to associated companies through a tiered approach. First and foremost, costs are directly charged to the benefited company whenever practicable. Secondly, in cases where direct charging cannot be readily determined, costs are typically allocated using cost/causation principles linked to the relationship of that type of service, such as meters, square footage, number of employees, etc. Lastly, all other costs are allocated based on a general allocator. These costs include operating and capital expenditures of \$25.9 million and \$7.5 million for the year ended March 31, 2011, and \$24.6 million and \$7.1 million for the year ended March 31, 2010, respectively.

Holding Company Charges

NGUSA received charges from National Grid Commercial Holdings Limited (an affiliated company in the UK) for certain corporate and administrative services provided by the corporate functions of National Grid plc to its US subsidiaries. These charges, which are recorded on the books of NGUSA, have not been reflected on these financial statements. Were these amounts allocated to this subsidiary, the estimated effect on net income would be approximately \$0.9 million and \$0.4 million before taxes, and \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million after taxes, for the years ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

Organization Restructuring

On January 31, 2011, National Grid plc announced substantial changes to the organization, including new global, US and UK operating models, and changes to the leadership team. The announced structure seeks to create a leaner, more-efficient business backed by streamlined operations that will help meet, more efficiently, the needs of regulators, customers and shareholders. The implementation of the new U.S. business structure commences on April 4, 2011 and targets annualized savings of \$200.0 million by March 2012 primarily through the reduction of up to 1,045 positions. As of March 31, 2011, NGUSA had recorded a \$66.8 million reserve for one-time employment termination benefits related to severance, payroll taxes, healthcare continuation, and outplacement services as well as consulting fees related to the restructuring program. These charges have been recorded by NGUSA and none have been allocated to the Company as at March 31, 2011. Subsequently in June 2011, we offered a voluntary severance plan to certain individuals which is expected to cost up to an additional \$20 million across all entities affiliated with NGUSA.

Note 12. Subsequent Events

In accordance with current authoritative accounting guidance, the Company has evaluated for disclosure subsequent events that have occurred through June 28, 2011, the date of issuance of these financial statements. As of June 28, 2011, there were no additional subsequent events which required recognition or disclosure.