# CUSC Workgroup Consultation Response Proforma

**CMP275 ‘Transmission Generator Benefits in the provision of ancillary and balancing services – levelling the playing field’**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses by **4 July 2017** to cusc.team@nationalgrid.com Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration by the Workgroup.

Any queries on the content of the consultation should be addressed to Caroline Wright at caroline.wright@nationalgrid.com

These responses will be considered by the Workgroup at their next meeting at which members will also consider any Workgroup Consultation Alternative Requests. Where appropriate, the Workgroup will record your response and its consideration of it within the final Workgroup Report which is submitted to the CUSC Modifications Panel.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Respondent:** | *Please insert your name and contact details (phone number or email address)* |
| **Company Name:** | *Please insert Company Name* |
| **Please express your views regarding the Workgroup Consultation, including rationale.****(Please include any issues, suggestions or queries)** | For reference, the Applicable CUSC objectives are: **Use of System Charging Methodology**(a) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity; (b) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);(c) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees’ transmission businesses\*;(d) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency. These are defined within the National Grid Electricity Transmission plc Licence under Standard Condition C10, paragraph 1; and(e) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements. |

**Standard Workgroup consultation questions**

| **Q** | **Question** | **Response** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | **Do you believe that CMP275 Original proposal, or any potential alternatives for change that you wish to suggest, better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives?** |  |
| 2 | **Do you support the proposed implementation approach?** |  |
| 3 | **Do you have any other comments?** |  |
| 4 | **Do you wish to raise a WG Consultation Alternative Request for the Workgroup to consider?**  | *If yes, please complete a WG Consultation Alternative Request form, available on National Grid's website[[1]](#footnote-1), and return to the CUSC inbox at* *cusc.team@nationalgrid.com* |

**Specific questions for CMP275**

| **Q** | **Question** | **Response** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | **With the planned implementation of the European Network Codes/Guidelines in GB and the obligations thus placed on National Grid, do you consider this to be the appropriate time to consider the proposed defect as procurement of, and the balancing services themselves will potentially require modification to meet the requirements of those Network Code/Guidelines?** |  |
| 6 | **Do you consider that the scope of this defect is out of scope of the CUSC and that the C16 Procurement Guideline statements of National Grid are, in this instance, the natural home for such changes to be considered and agreed between National Grid (as SO) and Ofgem?** |  |
| 7 | **Do you believe the potential additional complexity *added* to tendered ancillary and balancing services may reduce the breadth and depth of tenders received by National Grid and may therefore adversely impact the number of services and/or the costs of those services procured by National Grid?** |  |
| 8 | **Do you believe there are any services missing or any services included in the Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 that should not be included? If this is the case please provide supporting rationale.** |  |

1. <http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/Electricity/Codes/systemcode/amendments/forms_guidance/> [↑](#footnote-ref-1)