

**European Transparency Regulation / REMIT Briefing**  
**held at the Warwick Hilton 02 April 2014**

Companies represented: National Grid including IBM, SSE Generation, EDF, EON, DONG Energy, RWest, UK Power Reserve, SeaBank Power, Brit Ned Development Ltd., Cognizant and CGI

ETR Approach discussion session (Market Participants):

'Solution will be required to be scalable to allow for initial mass upload of planned data aligning to BMUs'

'Market Participants will keep their own back-up of ETR data'

**Issue identified** 'How to refine outage data for the EMFIP Platform. As National Grid MODIS solution will pass through data on outages (unavailability), how will this data be refined, to show the historic data around an outage?

'Consider in this how the lifecycle of an outage (unavailability) is to be presented?'

'How are follow-up messages presented? – via Elexon BMRS, how does the presentation of information refer backwards?'

'Example of the French system can be taken as best practice'.

**Issue identified** 'How does outage presentation get considered for the REMIT data, design consideration, linking of messages that get passed through to Elexon web portal. OFGEM have a preference to show history of events'

ETR Articles for Industry submission of data:

**Issue identified** 'Pumped storage, which have reportable MEL and MIL for demand and consumption units, should these be demand only reportable?'

**Issue identified** 'OFTO reporting of actual outages under 10.1c, not reportable under REMIT – Response from Tariq Hakeem P291 modification states that if the outage is significant enough for trading it will be reportable via Elexon (REMIT).

**Issue Identified** 'If outage is triggered by National Grid, do both parties report this? – Response that this will be reportable by the industry as a consequence affects the reason code.'

**Action / Issue identified** CCGT changes in availability due to temperature fluctuations, are these reportable as planned / actual availability changes – Issue to be raised with ACER / ENTSO-E.

**Action / Issue identified** 'Recommendation that an assumption document be produced for all articles. The recommended way to achieve this would be to hold an workshop with the industry to baseline the set of assumptions.

**Issue identified** – 'There will be PV stations constructed in the future that have a 100MW capacity what will the arrangement for these stations?'

'Implementation plan to allow for bulk upload of industry data to MODIS.

**Query for National Grid project** 'Does Installed generation capacity align to registered capacity for BMUs?'

**REMIT guidance has been updated from a 100MW threshold to a 20MW threshold.**

**Action** Project to produce a REMIT report definition for industry.

**REMIT Cause vs. ETR 'Reason code' - Industry assumption** – that these will be the same but mapping is not 1:1 (more REMIT causes)

**Issue identified** REMIT reporting duty of OFTOs – A notification is currently submitted via OC2 data submissions. To clarify statement made 'REMIT reporting does not apply to National Grid notifications, as there is an insider information impact from offshore generation / OFTO outages'

**Issue identified** 'OFTOS are not currently identified as trading parties with National Grid, any party however can register with Elexon', response from project 'No outage can be implemented without prior notification'.

**Issue identified** 'Project to define processes on decisions on ETR / REMIT'

## EIC codes discussion

'An interim and an enduring solution is required for EIC codes. Interim provider to create EIC codes for ETR compliance.'

**Issue** Who will be the enduring issuing authority, three candidates:

1. National Grid
2. Elexon
3. OFGEM

## Location of units

If this is the registered address of unit, no extra work required.

Alternatives 'Offshore grid reference', 'Connection point'

National Grid to take issue to ACER, other parties implementing ETR.

N.b. – Post event clarification from the ENSO-E ETR – Detailed Definitions document version 1 release 4 states:

Location for  
consumption and  
generation unit

Location is interpreted  
as bidding zone

The bidding zone in question for GB implementation of ETR according the ENSO-E guidance would be 'GB'

## Test Strategy

To present options on options on submission routes e.g. via lease line at earliest opportunity.

**Issue identified** 'Move of EMFIP from test to production environment, given that not all parties will be ready by January 2015.

National Grid to publish required documents to enable industry design of systems before end of My..

Project statement that the only validation by National Grid is that data comes from valid submitter. **Issue identified** - National Grid or Elexon to be party responsible for full validation, and flagging of data rejected via validation of xsd files.