#### 4. HVDC Network Code: JESG Technical Workshop Feedback







Paul Wakeley – National Grid JESG | 17 December 2013

#### **HVDC Network Code**

Issued for public consultation by ENTSO-E 7 Nov

Consultation closes on 7 January

Responses <u>only</u> via the ENTSO-E online tool:

www.entsoe.eu/consultations



# **JESG Technical Workshop**

- Two day technical workshop held on 11/12 December
- Focused on:
  - High-level discussion of the Network Code
  - Article-by-Article review
- **Aim:** To equip GB Stakeholders with the knowledge and understand of the Code to assist them in making comments via the ENTSO-E Consultation tool.
- Output: High-level issues/themes will be captured in the JESG Issue Log (currently being updated)

## **Drafting queries**

Key themes: Significance, Definitions, Relevant TSO

- Who is significant under the Network Code?
- There are missing or incorrect definitions
- Who is the *relevant* TSOs in the context of offshore or Interconnectors?

## **Existing Plant queries**

Key themes: Applicability, Modernisation, "main plant"

- Who is the Network Code applicable to? ENTSO-E indicated it was 'new plant' but the wording is not clear.
- Modernisation of equipment how do we check requirements are proportionate?
- What is 'main plant' in this context? Planning issues are also a major concern.

#### **Scope queries**

Key themes: Offshore Grids, Converter Stations, PPMs

- Why does the Network Code place onerous technical requirement on the offshore end of a HVDC link?
  - This could stifle technology, innovation and increase costs

## **Discrimination queries**

Key themes: AC vs DC, Interconnector vs Generator, TSO Assets vs non-TSO owned assets

- Technical requirements on DC PPMs and Interconnectors are much more restrictive than on Generators (under RFG). This is discriminatory.
- TSO owned assets are not liable to compliance testing. This places them at an advantage in an open market.

## Other queries

#### **Technology neutrality:**

- The Code is intended to be drafted to be technology neutral and not favour either LCC or VSC
- However, as written some of the requirement can not be meet by LCC.

#### **NRA Approval:**

 All items subject to determination by the TSOs after the Network Code has been entered in to force should be subject to NRA approval

#### **Dispute resolution:**

- What happens if TSOs, or TSOs and industry parties do not agree?
- There needs to be a dispute resolution mechanism