

#### European Network Code on Operational Security

Update to the Joint European Standing Group





### Summary and timeline

- First of the European Network Codes on System Operation
- Timeline:
  - 2/12/2011: ACER Framework Guidelines on System Operation
  - 1/3/2012: Commission invite ENTSO-E to begin drafting
  - 28/2/2013: OS NC and Supporting Document submitted by ENTSO-E to ACER
  - 9/4/2013: ACER Workshop
  - 28/5/2013: ACER opinion sent to ENTSO-E
- ACER issued opinion on Operational Security Network Code (OS NC) calling for improvements
- Next steps being discussed between ENTSO-E, ACER and Commission



### ACER Opinion - explained

#### OS opinion issued by ACER on 28/05/2013

- OS NC is broadly in line with the Framework Guidelines (FWGL) and its objectives;
- ACER commends ENTSO-E's effort to align the OS NC with the FWGL
- OS NC is important for completion and well functioning of the internal market, including the delivery of benefits to customers.
- ACER hope issues identified can be addressed within a reasonable period, preferably in advance of LFCR Network Code submission to ACER. ACER fully committed to support ENTSO-E in the process.



### ACER Opinion – priority issues

- 1. Coherence and compatibility with other network codes, particularly the RfG and DCC
- 2. National scrutiny
- 3. Information exchange
- 4. Drafting quality
- 5. Performance indicators
- 6. Scope of application



### ACER Opinion (1)

- 1. Coherence and compatibility with other network codes, particularly the Requirements for Generators and Demand Connection Codes
- Clarification of
  - scope of application of capabilities outlined in RfG
  - Application to Type A Power Generating Modules
  - application to existing Significant Grid Users beyond RfG



# ACER Opinion (2)

#### 2. National Scrutiny

- OS NC should be without prejudice to the competences of NRAs under the 3<sup>rd</sup> Package
- Article 4 list of items for NRA approval, should not be construed as exhaustive.
- Clarification of examples of requirements for which scrutiny at a national level would particularly apply
- Clarification of NRA approval of Remedial Actions (as in CACM NC)
- OS NC allows for TSOs and DSOs to request compliance tests and simulations at any time without national scrutiny
- National Scrutiny missing for several methodologies and conditions



# ACER Opinion (3)

#### 3. Information Exchange

- Proportionality of information exchange between TSOs and DSOs
- Scope of data exchange with SGUs
- 4. Drafting quality
- In particular drafting effecting legal certainty, such as definitions, cost recovery...
- 5. Performance Indicators
- Report with detailed assessment of the performance per country and evolution of selected performance criteria over time required in the FWGL
- 6. Scope



#### Any questions?

#### dipali.raniga[at]ofgem.gov.uk



Ofgem is the Office of Gas and Electricity Markets.

Our priority is to protect and to make a positive difference for all energy consumers. We work to promote value for money, security of supply and sustainability for present and future generations. We do this through the supervision and development of markets, regulation and the delivery of government schemes.

We work effectively with, but independently of, government, the energy industry and other stakeholders. We do so within a legal framework determined by the UK government and the European Union.

www.ofgem.gov.uk