

#1

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, May 14, 2018 1:54:16 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, May 14, 2018 2:29:16 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:35:00  
**IP Address:** 144.98.98.33

---

Page 1

**Q1 Name:**

Penny Jackson

---

**Q2 Organisation:**

npower

---

**Q3** Is it clear how we will use the Methodology and supporting documents to report on investment benefits?

**Yes - I can see how you will use the Methodology to report on investment benefits**

---

**Q4** Is the approach to the management of risk on the Gas Transmission Network clearly explained and understandable?

**Yes - It is clearly explained and understandable**

---

**Q5** In the explanations of our new process how clearly did we describe the level of overall risk that our network operates within?

**Clearly understand level of network risk**

---

**Q6** Do you understand how we intend to use the outputs from the methodology to develop investment plans that effectively manage risk?

**Yes - clearly understood**

---

## Questionnaire on NGGT's new NOMs Methodology

**Q7** Is it clear how we can use the Methodology to “trade” investment decisions between different asset groups to achieve the best outcome for stakeholders?

**Partially clear how the methodology can be used to achieve the best outcome for stakeholders**

Please add any comments::

We understand that “risk monetisation can be used to identify the most cost beneficial interventions.” And that the measurement of monetised risk can be used to show what value investment can give, but we do not see how this information is used to demonstrate the best outcome has been achieved. We understand that targets should be agreed with Ofgem and the onus is on Ofgem to monitor this.

**Q8** Do you agree with the proposed approach?

**Yes - I agree with the proposed approach**

**Q9** Does the methodology provide you with confidence that we will strike the right balance between these risks?

Please add any comments::

We are not best placed to answer this question.

**Q10** Does the new process effectively describe the current and the future risk of the gas transmission network?

Please add any comments::

We are not best placed to answer this question.

**Q11** Is the proposed approach to defining service risk and valuing risk appropriate and relevant?

If not, what alternative approaches would you suggest?:

We are not best placed to answer this question.

**Q12** Does the draft NOMs Methodology take appropriate account of all relevant types of asset failure and consequences of failure?

Please add any comments::

We are not best placed to answer this question.

**Q13** Do you agree that the proposed criticality monetisation approach adequately takes account of levels of redundancy (or back up) on the Gas Transmission Networks?

**Neither agree nor disagree**

Please add any comments::

We are not best placed to answer this question.

**Q14** In your view, are there any material omissions from the proposed methodology that if included would:

**Respondent skipped this question**