Sea Link

# Preliminary Environmental Information Report

Volume: 2 Part 3 Kent Onshore Scheme Appendix 3.5.B Assessment Criteria

Version A October 2023

# nationalgrid

Page intentionally blank.

### Contents

| 5.B Assessment Criteria  |        |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 5.B.1 Defining Receptor Value/Sensitivity 1  |        |  |  |  |  |
| 3.5.B.2 Defining Impact Magnitude  |        |  |  |  |  |
| Table of Tables  |        |  |  |  |  |
| Table 3.5.B.1: Assessment of receptor value criteria<br>Table 3.5.B.2: Assessment of impact magnitude criteria | 1<br>2 |  |  |  |  |

## Sea Link Document control

| Document Pr   | operties |  |                       |  |
|---------------|----------|--|-----------------------|--|
| Organisation  |          | Arcadis  |                       |  |
| Author        |          | Arcadis  |                       |  |
| Approved by   | Arcadis  |  |                       |  |
| Title         |          | Preliminary Environmental Information Report, Volume 2,<br>Part 3 Kent Onshore Scheme, Appendix 3.5.B Assessment<br>Criteria |                       |  |
| Data Classifi | cation   | Public   |                       |  |
| Version Histo | ory      |  |                       |  |
| Date          | Version  | Status   | Description / Changes |  |
| 24/10/2023    | А        | FINAL  | First issue           |  |

## 3.5.B Assessment Criteria

### 3.5.B.1 Defining Receptor Value/Sensitivity

3.5.B.1.1 Table 3.5.B.1 presents the criteria for assigning value/sensitivity to water environment receptors. These criteria are drawn from LA113: Road Drainage and the Water Environment (National Highways, 2020).

| Value/sensitivity | Criteria   |
|-------------------|--|
| Very high         | Nationally significant attribute of high importance, for example:  |
|                   | Watercourse having a Water Framework Directive<br>(WFD) classification shown in a River Basin<br>Management Plan (RBMP) and Q95 ≥ 1.0m <sup>3</sup> /s.                        |
|                   | Site protected under European legislation for example,<br>SPA, SAC and Ramsar site with designated interest<br>features dependent on the hydrological/surface water<br>regime. |
|                   | Land uses defined as 'essential infrastructure' or<br>'highly vulnerable' development under the National<br>Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).                                  |
|                   | River supporting a regionally important abstraction for potable water supply.  |
| High              | Regionally significant attribute of high importance, for example:  |
|                   | Watercourse having a WFD classification shown in a RBMP and Q95 <1.0m <sup>3</sup> /s.   |
|                   | Land uses defined as 'more vulnerable' under the NPPF.   |
|                   | River supporting a locally important abstraction for potable water supply.   |
| Medium            | Locally significant, of moderate quality and rarity, for example:  |
|                   | Watercourses not having a WFD classification shown in a RBMP and having a Q95 >0.001m <sup>3</sup> /s.   |
|                   | Site protected under UK legislation whose designated interest is dependent on the hydrological/surface water regime e.g. Local Wildlife Site, salmonid waters.                 |
|                   | Land uses defined as 'less vulnerable' under the NPPF.   |

#### Table 3.5.B.1: Assessment of receptor value criteria

| Value/sensitivity | Criteria  |
|-------------------|---|
|                   | River supporting abstraction for non-potable water supply at the local scale.                 |
| Low               | Lower quality, for example:   |
|                   | Watercourses not having a WFD classification shown in a RBMP and Q95 ≤0.001m <sup>3</sup> /s. |
|                   | Land uses defined as 'water compatible' under the NPPF.                                       |

#### 3.5.B.2 Defining Impact Magnitude

3.5.B.2.1 Table 3.5.B.2 presents the criteria for assigning a magnitude of impact. These criteria are also drawn from LA113: Road Drainage and the Water Environment (National Highways, 2020).

#### Table 3.5.B.2: Assessment of impact magnitude criteria

| Impact magnitude | Criteria/examples  |
|------------------|--|
| Large            | Loss or extensive change to a fishery<br>Loss of regionally important public water supply<br>Reduction in water body WFD classification<br>Increase in peak flood level (>100mm).  |
| Moderate         | Partial loss in productivity of a fishery<br>Degradation of regionally important public water supply<br>or loss of major commercial/ industrial/agricultural<br>supplies<br>Contribution to reduction in water body WFD<br>classification<br>Increase in peak flood level (>50mm). |
| Small            | Potential for a low risk of pollution<br>Increase in peak flood level (>10mm).   |
| Negligible       | No measurable change to baseline surface water<br>quality or WFD water body status.<br>Negligible change to peak flood level (≤ +/- 10mm)  |

Page intentionally blank.

National Grid plc National Grid House, Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick. CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales No. 4031152 nationalgrid.com