

LAND AND NEGOTIATIONS

**THE NATIONAL GRID ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION (LITTLE HORSTED SUBSTATION
CONNECTION) COMPULSORY PURCHASE ORDER 2022**

APPENDICES TO STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE

**James Ingram
Associate Partner / Senior Surveyor
Fisher German LLP**

Appendices

Page

1.	Appendix A - Engagement Schedule and Negotiations Update	1
2.	Appendix B - Objections Log Update	18

NGET - Little Horsted substation & 4VM works - Landowner Engagement Schedule - as at 10 November 2022

Tower/ Span	Grantor	Rights required	Works	Objection	Negotiations progress	Engagement Schedule
2, 3	Winchester, Mr & Mrs	Easement access	Plate Change		HoTs sent	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent</p> <p>03/12/21 - chaser sent</p> <p>13/12/21 - datasheet received</p> <p>04/02/22 - offer letter sent</p> <p>23/02/22 & 22/03/22 - chasers sent. Call back from solicitor, re. fee and using agent</p> <p>27/02/22 - holding reply to solicitor, copied to agent</p> <p>28/04/22 - spoke to landowner while erecting CPO notices, explained why CPO for such minor works</p> <p>11/05/11 - agent letter confirming instructions, emailed reply with details & offers and offering meeting</p> <p>10/06/22 & 04/07/22 & 22/08/22 - chaser sent</p> <p>01/09/22 - response & requesting HoTs</p> <p>16/09/22 - HoTs sent</p> <p>30/09/22 - chaser sent</p> <p>20/10/22 - chaser voicemail</p> <p>09/11/22 - agent email with HoTs queries, but minded to agree.</p> <p>Responded 10/11/22</p>
4	Unknown	Easement access	Plate Change		Unable to trace owner	<p>18/02/22 - wrote to parish council seeking info</p> <p>22/04/22 - site notice erected, checked weekly to 18/05/22</p> <p>07/10/22 - wrote to local agent seeking info, passed to another agent, no response</p>

6	Thompson & Tedham, Messrs	Easement	Plate Change		In negotiation	<p>09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent to each owner 06/05/21 - chaser letter sent to each owner 20/05/21 - datasheet returned by Tedham but no direct contact details 09/07/21 - offer letter sent to each owner 21/07/21 - chaser letter sent to each owner 12/08/21 - tried calling and emailing Mr Thompson 23/08/21 - chaser email sent 06/09/21, 07/09/21 & 09/09/21 - left messages to arrange meeting 10/09/21 - site meeting with both owners - would consider easement once backdated wayleave payment info provided. Happy to allow access for work. 26/10/21 - update letter sent to each owner re. CPO 25/11/21 - further letter sent explaining requirements and rights No further responses 17/10/22 - spoke to Mr Thompson, obtained email address, and was advised that Mr Tedham unlikely to respond to correspondence 19/10/22 - called again and obtained updated address. Redrafting offer and backdated wayleave payments 25/10/22 - offer letter sent with change of grantor form</p>
11, 12, 13	Ibstock Bricks (1996) Ltd	Easement access	Plate Change	1	HoTs signed	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 03/12/21 - chaser sent 04/02/22 - offer letter sent 23/02/22 - chaser sent 10/05/22 - copy of objection received. Emailed agent to clarify rights required. 11/05/22 - emailed agent setting out rights & offer 10/06/22 - chaser sent 01/07/22 - agent call to clarify rights again, will respond next week 12/07/22 - formal response to objection sent 25/08/22 - emailed agent to follow up call, re. status of land and mineral development concerns 30/08/22 - proposed easement plans provided 14/09/22 - HoTs sent 11/10/22 - revised HoTs sent including adjusted fees 20/10/22 - chaser sent 26/10/22 - incomplete HoTs returned 01/11/22 - HoTs returned 07/11/22 - NG solicitor instructed</p>

14	Ashburnham Estate (Warren, Bickersteth, Bickersteth & Collington)	Easement	Plate Change	Refused new rights but allowing access	<p>09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent to owners c/o agent</p> <p>06/05/21 - chaser letter sent</p> <p>09/07/21 - offer letter sent to owners c/o agent</p> <p>21/07/21 - chaser email sent to agent, responded 22/07/21 following call explaining requirements</p> <p>12/08/21 - emailed agent requesting site meeting</p> <p>26/08/21 - site meeting with agent</p> <p>08/09/21 - emailed agent following meeting, with datasheet and confirming no interest in granting an easement</p> <p>27/09/21 - datasheet returned</p> <p>26/10/21 - update letter sent re. CPO</p> <p>26/11/21 - letter sent repeating preference for easement, and with grantor change form - chased 29/11/21</p> <p>25/07/22 - Prerepresentative of Fisher German left voicemail requesting response</p> <p>22/08/22 - emailed agent with update on CPO and clarification of rights sought. Reply confirmed position, won't grant permanent rights but happy for access to be taken.</p>
15	Ward-Smith, Mr BJ	Easement access	Plate Change	Refused new rights but allowing access	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter and datasheet sent</p> <p>01/12/21 - chaser letter sent</p> <p>04/01/22 - datasheet received</p> <p>06/05/22 - call from Mrs Ward-Smith, will send them more details</p> <p>26/05/22 - agent email with instructions to act & requesting docs</p> <p>10/06/22 - HoTs sent to agent</p> <p>01/08/22 - chaser sent</p> <p>05/10/22 - met agent, confirmed likely no new rights, but happy with access for works - confirming with client</p> <p>24/10/22 - chaser sent</p>
16	Ward-Smith, Mr A	Easement access	Plate Change	Refused new rights but allowing access	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter and datasheet sent</p> <p>25/11/21 - datasheet received</p> <p>04/02/22 - offer letter sent</p> <p>23/02/22 & 21/03/22 - chaser letter sent</p> <p>13/05/22 - agent email with instructions to act</p> <p>10/06/22 - HoTs sent</p> <p>01/08/22 - chaser sent</p> <p>05/10/22 - met agent, confirmed no new rights, but happy with access for works</p>

17	Hagues, Mr & Mrs	Easement	Plate Change		HoTs sent	<p>09/04/21 - intro letter and datasheet sent 22/04/21 - datasheet received 09/07/21 - offer letter sent 21/07/21 - chaser letter sent 12/08/21 - email sent requesting site meeting, chased 20/08/22 25/08/21 - meeting dates proposed 10/09/21 - site meeting with landowner & agent - they wouldn't consider an easement at the moment 17/09/21 - email to agent to follow up meeting discussion 26/10/21 - CPO update letter sent 26/11/21 - chaser letter sent re. offer 12/05/22 - called agent & emailed update and to request discussion 13/05/22 - called and emailed replacement agent explaining works & requirements 10/06/22 & 30/08/22 - chaser sent 03/10/22 - called to chase, client now happy to proceed 07/10/22 - HoTs sent 24/10/22 - chaser sent (call & email) 10/11/22 - left message for agent requesting call back</p>
19	Holt, AT & DD	Easement	Plate Change		Land in probate so new owner unable to grant rights	<p>09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 06/05/21 - chaser sent 07/07/21 - offer letter sent (subsequently advised deceased owner) 21/07/21 - chaser sent 26/08/21 - site meeting with Mr Holt, no issue with access, will consider easement conversion, Mr Gutsell passed away so Mr Holt now owns the land 08/09/21 - email sent covering meeting discussions and confirming datasheet 17/09/21 - sent proposed terms for upgrade to easement 26/10/21 - update letter sent re. CPO 03/11/21 - landowner email received seeking increased payment 05/11/21 - emails re. payment seeking deal 12/11/21 - grantor accepted offer 26/11/21 - sent formal offer 07/03/22 - sent grantor change form to complete 20/05/22 - emailed grantor to request call to update on project 01/08/22 - Representative of Fisher German sent chaser email 03/10/22 - resent correspondence as requested reminder. Mr Holt confirmed probate still not complete so land not yet in his name. 25/10/22 - called, confirmed still no progress with solicitor. Email him to chase in due course.</p>

20	Ainslee, Mr ALA & Mrs M	Easement access	Plate Change		HoTs sent	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 30/11/21 - datasheet received 04/02/22 - offer letter sent 23/02/22 - chaser sent 19/05/22 - emailed to chase, including more rights details & plans 26/05/22 - dropped by but busy cutting up trees, will try to arrange visit again in next few weeks 22/08/22 - HoTs sent to Alex following call 03/10/22 - left chaser voicemail for Alex 24/10/22 - called Alex, he will email on to agent to check</p>
21	Ainslee, Mr AGL	Easement access	Plate Change		HoTs sent	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 03/12/21 - chaser sent 06/01/22 - datasheet received 19/05/22 - copied Alex in on email to father 13/06/22 - call received, please sent HoTs, he will likely check with agent 22/08/22 - HoTs sent 03/10/22 - left chaser voicemail for Alex 24/10/22 - called Alex, he will email on to agent to check</p>
23	Shearing, Mr P	Easement	Plate Change		Withdrawn from negotiations due to changed personal circumstances	<p>09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 06/05/21 - chaser sent 09/07/21 - offer letter sent 21/07/21 - chaser sent 12/08/21 - meeting request sent 23/08/21 - chaser sent 26/08/21 - site meeting held - happy with access but won't grant extra rights 09/09/21 - emailed with summary of meeting discussions 26/10/21 - update letter sent re. CPO 26/11/21 - update letter with offer sent 30/04/22 - email received with datasheet & CPO queries 03/05/22 - returned landowner call to discuss CPO, ownerships, payments 04/05/22 - emailed grantor to follow up call, re. rights and possible claims 05/05/22 - site visit to inspect for claim assessment 30/05/22 - HoTs sent with IA offer 04/10/22 - following a number of chasers, grantor confirmed personal</p>

24, 25	Southouse, Mr D	Easement access	Plate Change	With solicitors	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent (3 addresses) 13/12/21 - datasheet received 04/02/22 - offer letter sent 25/02/22 - email from agent confirming instructions 03/03/22 - offer acceptance received from agent with solicitor details 14/03/22 - correct address confirmed by landowner 21/03/22 - chaser letter sent re. offer (cross over with acceptance) 13/04/22 - emailed agent re. adding extra oversail to easement 26/04/22 - email to agent updating re. CPO 03/05/22 - agent agreed to extra rights 11/05/22 - HoTs sent for all rights 30/05/22 - HoTs agreed 06/06/22 - solicitors instructed, advised agent 19/10/22 - responding to chase, agent advised he had now replied to solicitor re. queries</p>
28	Robins, Merrs ME & GM and Msses IG & HJ	Easement access	Plate Change	HoTs sent	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 01/12/21 - datasheet received 04/02/22 - offer letter sent 23/02/22 - chaser sent 21/03/22 - chaser sent 19/05/22 - email from agent with instructions 08/07/22 - HoTs sent 01/08/22 - chaser sent 18/08/22 - site visit to inspect suggested alternative access route 15/08/22 - sent revised plan & HoTs 26/09/22 - chaser sent 10/10/22 - agent advises client is appointing a solicitor 14/10/22 & 24/10/22 - chaser sent</p>
29	Love, Mr G (Greenways Fruit Farm)	Easement access	Plate Change	With solicitors	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 25/11/21 - datasheet received 04/02/22 - offer letter sent 23/02/22 & 22/03/22 - chaser sent 07/07/22 - HoTs sent 04/08/22 - HoTs signed 23/08/22 - solicitors instructed</p>

30	Wickens, Mr A	Easement access	Plate Change	Refused new rights but allowing access	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent</p> <p>03/12/21 - chaser sent</p> <p>06/12/21 - datasheet received</p> <p>04/02/22 - offer letter sent</p> <p>23/02/22 & 21/03/22 - chaser sent</p> <p>27/04/22 - email from agent with instructions</p> <p>03/08/22 - agent advised happy for access, but no extra rights would be granted</p> <p>04/08/22 - agent advised he would consider access from Love land to south</p> <p>05/10/22 - meeting with agent, advised no further instructions from</p>
31	Powell, Mr D	Easement	Plate Change	Refused new rights	<p>09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent</p> <p>13/04/21 - landowner call to confirm ownership, requirements explained</p> <p>09/07/21 - offer letter sent</p> <p>14/07/21 - call from landowner, won't sign documents but will allow access</p> <p>21/07/21 - chaser sent 26/10/21 - update letter sent re. CPO</p> <p>26/11/21 - update sent with offer</p> <p>29/11/21 - returned call to landowner, won't sign anything, messed around by NG in past. CPO explained</p> <p>02/12/21 - received complaint submitted to NG re. unauthorised access - NG confirmed line maintenance team had not adhered to instructions</p> <p>26/04/22 - call with landowner to discuss CPO and rights - not inclined to grant anything</p> <p>05/05/22 - call with landowner to discuss requirements further - understands works, can discuss accomodation works nearer the time</p> <p>05/10/22 - an agent advised he was trying to obtain instructions</p>
32, 33, 34	Mercer & Hole Trustees / Emslie 2003 UK Settlement	Easement access	Plate Change	Refused new rights but allowing access	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent</p> <p>03/12/21 - chaser sent</p> <p>04/02/22 - offer letter sent</p> <p>11/02/22 - intro letter & datasheet, & offer letter sent to alternative address</p> <p>23/02/22 - chaser sent</p> <p>24/03/22 - datasheet received</p> <p>31/05/22 - agent email confirming he had acted for Trust for many years</p> <p>28/06/22 - agent suggested unlikely to grant extra rights, as for most of his clients</p> <p>29/07/22 - email from agent to confirm happy with access but will not grant extra rights</p> <p>05/10/22 - meeting with agent, confirmed position not changed</p>

35, 36	Angear, Mrs J	Easement access	Plate Change		Refused new rights but allowing access	<p>03/12/21 - chaser sent 13/12/21 - datasheet received 04/02/22 - offer letter sent 23/02/22 - chaser sent 22/03/22 - chaser sent 26/04/22 - call with occupier (son-in-law) to discuss requirements & rights 26/05/22 - site meeting including agent - happy with access but won't grant extra rights</p>
41, 42	Ibstock Brick Hudson Ltd	Easement	Plate Change	See other Ibstock entry	HoTs signed	<p>09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 19/04/21 - datasheet returned, with plan of wayleaves 09/07/21 - offer letter sent 21/07/21 & 26/07/21 - chaser sent 12/08/21 - email received, can't meet but happy to discuss on Teams 20/08/21 - responded to FG meeting request 25/08/21 - emailed agent setting out works & offer as he couldn't travel to site 17/09/21 - chaser sent 28/09/21 - emails exchanged re. works & rights, and datasheet received 26/10/21 - update letter sent re. CPO 04/11/21 - emails explaining need for rights & CPO - will object of use of CPO 26/11/21 - chaser sent, repeating offer 07/12/21 - chaser sent re. offer 08/12/21 - emailed surveyor re. occupiers and wayleaves 10/05/22 - copy of objection received. Emailed agent to clarify rights required. 11/05/22 - emailed agent setting out rights & offer 10/06/22 - chaser sent 01/07/22 - agent call to clarify rights again - would respond next week 12/07/22 - formal response to objection sent 23/08/22 - call following meeting with client - all land has potential so won't grant permanent easements 25/08/22 - emailed agent to follow up call, re. status of land and mineral development concerns 30/08/22 - proposed easement plans provided 14/09/22 - HoTs sent 11/10/22 - revised HoTs sent including adjusted fees 20/10/22 - chaser sent 26/10/22 - incomplete HoTs returned</p>

48	Sheffield, Mr J (formerly Mr W Sheffield)	Easement	Plate Change		Refused new rights but allowing access	<p>09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 06/05/21 - chaser sent 09/07/21 - offer letter sent 15/07/21 - agent email to confirm instructions 21/07/21 - left voicemail for agent, requesting discussion 23/07/21 - call with agent to review requirements and offers 03/08/21 - emailed agent following initial call to confirm rights required & offer 12/08/21 - emailed chaser 26/08/21 - site meeting with agent, happy with access but extra rights wouldn't currently be granted, just wayleave updated 27/08/21 - follow up email sent including re. requested increased payment 13/10/21 - chaser sent for datasheet 26/10/21 - update letter sent re. rights, offer & CPO 26/11/21 - chaser sent setting out right & offer again 05/10/22 - met with agent, position unchanged - access OK but no extra rights will be granted</p>
59, 60	Courtney, Mr J & Mrs A	Easement access	Plate Change		Refused new rights but allowing access	<p>19/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 29/11/21 - datasheet received 04/02/22 - offer letter sent 19/02/22 - email from grantor accepting offer following call the day before 20/05/22 - sent plan requesting confirmation of best access route 27/05/22 - emailed grantor re. documents & land agents 31/05/22 - agent email to confirm instructions 06/06/22 - HoTs sent 28/06/22 - agent emailed to say happy with access but no extra rights</p>

61	Swansea Enterprises (Hesmonds Stud)	Easement	Plate Change	With solicitors	<p>09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent</p> <p>24/06/21 - datasheet received</p> <p>09/07/21 - offer letter sent</p> <p>12/08/21 - chaser sent</p> <p>18/08/21 - spoke to stud, avoiding site meeting at the moment as too short staffed</p> <p>23/08/21 - emailed follow up re. requirement for meeting</p> <p>26/08/21 - site meeting to discuss works and rights - confirmed access will be fine, trustees will need to consider rights request</p> <p>25/10/21 - update letter re CPO</p> <p>26/11/21 - chaser sent</p> <p>29/11/21 - project details passed to solicitor for future correspondence</p> <p>04/02/22 - update letter sent repeating offer</p> <p>13/05/22 - emailed agent asking if instructed to progress having sent copy of CPO docs</p> <p>16/05/22 - call to explain why CPO, and contacted their planning consultant</p> <p>17/05/22 - emailed detailed explanation of works, and current rights versus requested, to pass to trust manager and planning consultant</p> <p>04/07/22 - chaser sent</p> <p>06/07/22 - call with planning consultant re. rights & flexibility</p> <p>07/07/22 - call from consultant, minded to accept, confirmed by email</p> <p>01/08/22 - HoTs & plans sent</p> <p>12/08/22 - signed HoTs received</p> <p>19/08/22 - solicitors instructed</p>
62	Swansea Enterprises (Hesmonds Stud)	Easement access	Plate Change	With solicitors	See above
62 span	Lefton, Mr D	Access	Plate Change	Refused new rights	<p>03/11/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent</p> <p>03/12/21 - chaser sent</p> <p>21/02/22 - initial letter sent to individual business units on site</p> <p>05/05/22 - returned call from landowner, won't grant rights if will CPO them anyway, confirmed a colleague will be in touch re. renewing wayleave (separate work to this project)</p> <p>09/06/22 - CPO bundle hand delivered to units 2, 3 & 4 (where initially returned by Post Office) - posted under doors as no letter boxes</p>

63	Preston, Mr JA & Mrs SK	Easement access	Plate Change & arcing horns		With solicitors	<p>09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent 06/05/21 & 15/10/21 - chaser sent 21/10/21 - call from landowner, asked for an email address she could correspond with. Access fine on 48hrs notice 26/10/21 - update letter sent re. CPO 11/11/21 - CPO announcement letter. Emailed reply - FG offered meeting and confirmed CPO was for temporary works, not land acquisition 15/11/21 - email exchange re. CPO & rights 04/02/22 - offer letter sent 26/04/22 - emails re. visit and CPO site notice 28/04/22 - site meeting with Mr Preston to discuss requirement, access route & title 16/06/22 - emails re. legal quote 08/07/22 - HoTs sent 03/08/22 - signed HoTs received 07/08/22 - solicitors instructed 26/09/22 - email in re. legal delay 11/10/22 - email in re. further legal delay 04/11/22 - email exchanges re. chase. Trying to sort out title issues.</p>
63 access	Myles, Mr GP	Easement access	Plate Change & arcing horns		Recently added	<p>15/10/21 - Intro letter & datasheet sent 11/11/22 - CPO announcement letter sent 03/12/21 - Datasheet chaser letter sent 28/10/22 - offer letter sent due to section of access route identified that neighbour can't grant RoW over</p>

66	Ridgewood Holdings Ltd	Easement	Main works		1	In negotiation	<p>27/08/20 - site meeting re. land, requirements & rights. File note of meeting sent 28/08/20</p> <p>18/01/21 - owner email to NG proposing mitigation land west of Sand Hill Lane</p> <p>21/01/21 - site meeting re. surveys, mitigation land and various other matters, with file note sent 27/01/21</p> <p>15/04/21 - datasheet returned. 09/07/21 - offer letter sent</p> <p>28/07/21 - email response setting out main concerns 03/09/21 - site meeting, including spoil on option land</p> <p>14/09/22 - email sent to follow up meeting, recording various discussions, and with land offer</p> <p>27/09/21 - email sent breaking down rights offer, chaser sent 15/10/21 to discuss rights</p> <p>25/10/21 - update letter re. CPO. 09/11/21 & 23/11/21 - chaser sent re. getting agent in touch</p> <p>02/12/21 - email to agent to confirm fees and requesting update on terms & spoil</p> <p>09/12/21 - emailed agent with details of ecology surveys required, discussed on call 14/12/21</p> <p>15/12/21 - formal survey access request sent - signed & returned</p> <p>16/12/21 20/12/21 & 10/01/22 - chasers sent re. terms discussions</p> <p>14/01/22 & 28/04/22 - email exchanges re. option, soil & mitigation land</p> <p>02/03/22 - email sent updating on requirements and offers. Queries sent by return, and answered</p> <p>15/03/22 - detailed response to offer received, with suggested prices for claims</p> <p>05/04/22 - email received with supporting evidence</p> <p>11/04/22 - option activated/completed to secure substation land</p> <p>19/04/22 - reply to claim, with offer breakdown</p> <p>14/05/22 - agent emailed copy of formal objection</p>
67	Ridgewood Holdings Ltd	Easement access	Main works	See other entry		In negotiation	See above
68	Ridgewood Holdings Ltd	Easement - main works	Main works	See other entry		In negotiation	See above

69 span	Hamilton Palace Ltd	Easement - main works	Main works	0	HoTs for lease sent	<p>01/09/20 - site meeting to discuss survey requirements & mitigation. 23/09/20 - email sent summarising meeting 28/09/20 - survey letter sent 05/10/20 - meeting to discuss works & reducing impact on land, veg clearance, ecology surveys & payments, ownerships 07/10/20 - file note of meeting sent. 12/10/20 to 23/10/20 - emails re. surveys & payments 19/02/21 to 01/03/21 - discuss works & ecology, and need to meet ecologist on site 01/03/21 to 11/03/21 - requesting meeting, but landowner wants more details on ecology proposals first 09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent. 06/05/21 - chaser sent (x3 parties) 10/05/21 - letter from agent re. datasheet. Letter sent re. bat survey 13/05/21 - agreed survey access. 16/06/21 - meeting to discuss surveys, screening, photo montages, replanting 09/07/21 - offer letter sent, chased 21/07/21 27/07/21 - emailed re. agent invoice & VAT 02/08/21 - datasheet received for Hamilton Palace Ltd. 03/08/21 - emailed request for other 2 datasheets 12/08/21 - request for meeting sent re. tree clearance works, chased 23/08/21 06/09/21 - called and emailed requesting meeting to discuss site requirements 30/09/21 - meeting re. ecology & protected species mitigation, land rights, view points, tree safety clearance 26/10/21 - update letter sent re. CPO 24/11/21 - request sent for protected species survey access 26/11/21 - chaser sent re. offer 28/02/22 - letter sent with more detail and repeating offer</p>
---------	---------------------	-----------------------	------------	---	---------------------	---

69 span cont.	Hamilton Palace Ltd	Easement main works	Main works	0	HoTs for lease sent	<p>12/05/22 - objection submitted covering x3 ownerships</p> <p>12/07/22 - formal response to objection sent</p> <p>23/08/22 - email to agent re. points in objection, more detail of works, and boundaries28/</p> <p>28/09/22 - agent response re. boundaries and requesting meeting</p> <p>11/10/22 - meeting to update, discuss objection, works and timing, and rights required</p> <p>19/10/22 - agent email requesting ecology details and draft lease, to be able to remove objection</p> <p>20/10/22 - replied to agent re. works, rights, documenting, plot 33</p> <p>24/10/22 - emailed agent re. power of attorney. 25/10/22 - emailed agent with plot by plot summary</p> <p>02/11/22 - emailed agent with lease HoTs & plans</p> <p>07/11/22 - terms negotiated</p> <p>08/11/22 - objection formally withdrawn</p>
69 span	Ben-Zarti, Mr A	Easement Main Works	Main works	See Hamilton	HoTs for lease sent	See above
69	Gnomou, Ms A	Easement main works	Main works	See Hamilton	HoTs for lease sent	See above

70	Chattaway, Mr D & Mrs MJ	Easement - main works	Main works		In negotiation	<p>27/08/20 - meeting to explain proposals, discuss access, works, veg clearance, vary existing easement, claims, noise concern</p> <p>28/09/20 - survey request letter sent 09/04/21 - intro letter & datasheet sent</p> <p>06/05/21 - chaser sent 21/05/21 - letter sent re. mitigation land request</p> <p>28/05/21 - update letter sent 07/06/21 - letter received refusing mitigation land</p> <p>22/06/21 - objection letter sent to Council re. substation</p> <p>09/07/21 - update letter with offer for easement variation</p> <p>21/07/21 - chaser sent 25/07/21 - email received with solicitor details</p> <p>30/07/21 - datasheet sent 06/09/21 - agent email with confirmation of instructions and requesting meeting</p> <p>11/09/21 - site meeting with agent, follow up email sent 15/09/21 re. fees, timescales, rights & temporary land required, offer and datasheet</p> <p>23/09/21 - datasheet received</p> <p>25/10/21 - update letter sent re. CPO</p> <p>03/11/21 & 04/11/21 & 15/11/21 - emails to agent requesting occupier details</p> <p>26/11/21 - chaser sent re. offer</p> <p>15/02/22 - agent email confirming survey walkover access</p> <p>02/03/22 - letter sent with update on requirements and offer including compound</p> <p>15/03/22 - agent reply with queries on requirements & offer</p> <p>30/03/22 - email to agent reinforcing offer</p> <p>31/03/22 - agent email stating can't assess offer and doesn't know how to calculate claim 17/06/22 - emails exchanged re. site meeting 18/08/22 - site meeting with owners and agent to review requirements, timing, accomodation works and offer</p> <p>08/09/22 - email to follow up meeting, re. area required & offer 12/09/22 - replied to agent's counter offer for lease of land 04/11/22 - chased re. offer and addressing fee claim</p>
----	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	------------	--	----------------	---

70 span	Satchell, Mr DJ & Mrs CJ	Easement	Main works		In negotiation	<p>02/10/20 - survey letter sent 09/04/21 - intro letter and datasheet sent 06/05/21 - chaser sent 09/07/21 - offer letter sent 31/08/21 - intro email to agent with offer & datasheet 03/09/21 - site meeting with agent 08/09/22 - follow up email with details, offer and datasheet 06/10/22 - datasheet returned, emailed agent back askign for yard occupier details 25/10/21 - update letter sent re. CPO 26/11/21 - chaser sent re. offer 28/10/21 - agent email confirming yard occupier and re. battery developers 30/03/22 - emailed agent requesting discussion of rights & terms 01/04/22 - agent email confirming walkover survey access 25/04/21 - call from landowner, summarised works, rights, impact of permanent agreement, and re. instructing new agent 23/06/22 - email from new agent confirming instructions 18/08/22 - site meeting with landowner and agent, so discuss requirements - looked at alternative access route proposal 22/08/21 - emailed agent with access route plan, and requesting house value for IA claim (for easement) 02/09/22 - ecologist email to agent requesting survey access following wek (undertaken) 04/11/22 - email to agent declining proposed access route due to ecology issues but repeating wish to agree permanent rights</p>
---------	-----------------------------	----------	------------	--	----------------	---

						<p>28/09/20 - survey letter sent 09/04/21 - intro letter and datasheet sent 06/05/21 - chaser letter sent 09/07/21 - offer letter sent 16/07/21 - offer letter sent to alt. address 21/07/21 - chaser letter sent 23/07/21 - email from management company requesting copy letters, sent by return 23/07/21 - email from golf club requesting we deal directly with head office 16/09/21 - meeting with agent to review, follow up emails re. queries 22/10/21 - emailed agent with more details on working area 25/10/21 - update letter sent re. CPO 26/11/21 - chaser letter sent re. offer 04/02/22 - revised offer email to agent including works area 11/05/22 - emailed agent requesting meeting dates 13/05/22 - objection received 17/05/22 - chaser sent to agent re. meeting 26/05/22 - call with agent, requested meeting 27/05/22 - aerial overlay image of work area sent to agent plus chase meeting 12/07/22 - formal objection to objection sent 09/08/22, 11/08/22 & 30/08/22 - chased agent for meeting 02/09/22 - proposed dated for meeting, chased 05/09/22 16/09/22 - agent email proposing meeting date 05/10/22 - site meeting with landowner and agent 13/10/22 - site inspection by engineers and preferred contractor to look at work area options 20/10/22 - emailed agent with revised work area and proposal for option for lease 24/10/22 & 25/10/22 - tried calling to follow up, left messages 01/11/22 - emailed agent with lease HoTs & plans 04/11/22 - emailed formal lease plan 1 09/11/22 - call to discuss terms for temporary land use, agent sent</p>
71, 72, 73, 74	Swynson Ltd (East Sussex Golf)	Easement	Main works	1	HoTs for lease sent	
Assets at west end of route	Southern Gas Networks			0	Utility stakeholder - objection removed	12/08/22 - objection removed

Schedule of Objections

Objector and Agent	Plot no's	Rights package (if applicable)	Issues/concerns raised in Objection	NGET response	Update as at 11 th November 2022
Ibstock Bricks (1996) Limited [and others] OBJ1 Represented by M. Banton of Gerald Eve	83	Colour Plate Access Rights	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ibstock Bricks advise they hold an interest in plots 83, 84, 85, 86, 149, 150, 151, 152 and 153. 	Plot number 152 was not used in the Order and is marked "Number not used".	NGET's appointed land agents, Fisher German, initially contacted the agent for Ibstock Bricks to advise of a misunderstanding, in that NGET only seeks to acquire rights over land owned by Ibstock Bricks, rather than the land itself. A full description of NGET's requirements was provided, and a formal response to the objection sent.
	84	Colour Plate Rights			
	85	Colour Plate Access Rights	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NGET are seeking powers to acquire the freehold of these plots and deprive the landowner of occupation of them. 	NGET are only seeking rights (Colour Plate Access Rights or Colour Plate Rights) over the plots of land owned by Ibstock Bricks which should in practice have minimal impact.	
	86	Colour Plate Rights			
	149	Colour Plate Rights			
	150	Colour Plate Access Rights	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The acquisition of part of their land will cause significant disruption, inconvenience, considerable capital costs and potentially increased operational costs. 	NGET seeks Colour Plate Access Rights and Colour Plate Rights over the plots in which Ibstock Bricks has an interest. The exercise of the access rights will involve NGET's appointed contractors taking access over the relevant plots (most likely on foot) in order to gain access to existing towers for the purpose of replacing Colour Plates.	
	151	Colour Plate Rights			
	[152]	<i>Number not used</i>			

				<p>Minor works may be required on the land in order to facilitate such access, such as vegetation clearance. These rights will be exercised on one occasion during the construction phase of the project and so the physical impact will be limited and temporary in nature.</p> <p>Colour Plate Rights are needed over some plots to enable appointed contractors to climb the existing towers to change the Colour Plates on them, ensuring a sufficient exclusion zone is in place around the tower to enable a safe operation and allow for emergency procedures if required. Once in place operatives will change the Colour Plates. This operation will need to take place during an electricity outage and be completed before the circuit can be re energised. This is a safety requirement of the National Grid to ensure the new circuits can be correctly identified after the addition of the new circuits to the system.</p>	<p>potential which was a concern raised by Istock Bricks.</p> <p>Revised terms for both parcels of land were sent on 14th September 2022, with follow-up emails concerning the impact of short-term rights. Istock Bricks agent indicated on 11 October that terms are agreed subject to agreement on the basis of professional fees and that he had been instructed to withdraw the objection. Revised terms were provided by return, and signed on 1 November. We await confirmation that the objection has been withdrawn, having advised the agent how to do this.</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NGET has not demonstrated that there is a sufficiently compelling case in the public interest to justify interfering their rights. • NGET already has sufficient rights over their land to enable NGET to undertake the proposed works without 	<p>It is essential for health and safety reasons for the Colour Plates to be replaced. Unless and until the Colour Plates are replaced it will not be possible for the important new infrastructure comprised in the Project to commence operation. While the works to replace the Colour Plates are relatively minor in nature it is essential that NGET have the ability to access the towers to change the Colour Plates at any time it is necessary to do so. It is therefore vital that NGET secures the rights it needs to enable it to lawfully replace the Colour Plates. NGET are committed to securing these voluntarily if at all possible.</p> <p>In respect of plots 83, 84, 85 and 86, NGET holds rights for the existing apparatus and access under historic/implied wayleaves. An offer has been made (July 2021) to convert these rights to a permanent easement. The critical nature (see above) and timing of the works (they must be carried out during scheduled electricity</p>	
--	--	--	---	---	--

			<p>the need for the proposed CPO.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No offer has been made to purchase their leasehold interest so it cannot be said that compulsory purchase powers are required on the grounds that it is not possible to acquire the interest by agreement. 	<p>outages) requires NGET to have more certainty of access than under historic or implied rights, hence the need to protect its position by including the required rights within the Order.</p> <p>In respect of plots 149, 150, 151 and 153 NGET holds rights for the existing apparatus on a 1967 deed of easement. The access route to the apparatus NGET has historically been directed to use by Istock Bricks falls outside these rights and an offer has been made (February 2022) to vary the deed to include these rights.</p>	
<p>Swynson Limited OBJ 2 Represented by James</p>	2	Arcing Horn Rights	<p>Raises concerns about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the size of the working area on plot 7, particularly the area extending into the 	<p>NGET is seeking to acquire "Working Area Rights" over plot 7 to enable it to carry out works to the towers and "Construction Access Rights" over plot 8 to enable NGET to take access over</p>	<p>NGET's appointed land agents, Fisher German, and Swynson Limited's and East Sussex National's appointed land agent exchanged emails in May 2022 concerning the acquisition of</p>
	3	Arcing Horn Access Rights			
	4	Arcing Horn Rights			

White of Savills	5	Arcing Horn Access Rights	<p>fairway, and the duration for which it will be used; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the location of the proposed construction access route across plot 8 and its proximity to a tee box and greens comprised in the golf course. 	<p>land during and for the purposes of construction of the Project.</p> <p>The working area around pylon 071 is required to enable reconductoring of the overhead lines on the existing towers. This working area has been designed to enable the safest and most efficient method for the works to be carried out, whilst still allowing flexibility for the appointed contractor to utilise their preferred working methods. Key to the size and shape of the working area required, is the positions required for winches to pull conductors along the overhead line; one of the winch positions will need to be close to or on the course due to the minimum working distance (of the machines from the live wire) required by health and safety protocols. Once NGET’s contractors enter on the land, they will identify the specific working methods that will be adopted and NGET will liaise with Swynson Limited and East Sussex National further to</p>	<p>rights on the golf course, with an indicative image provided showing how the temporary rights would lay over the course. Project team representatives and Fisher German subsequently met with the landowner, leaseholder and their agent on site on 5th October 2022 to discuss the works and land requirements in more detail and seek to mitigate any impact.</p> <p>A proposal was discussed which would limit the occupation of the course for the purposes of the Project, with the intention that the affected hole could remain in play at least in some form, thereby mitigating the impact on players and management of the course. This would be subject to the main contractor confirming that the reconfigured layout of the work area, which would utilise land to the north, would</p>
	6	Arcing Horn Rights			
	7	Working Area Rights			
	8	Construction Access Rights			
	1 (Table 2 interest)	Arcing Horn Access Rights			
	9 (Table 2 interest)	Construction Access Rights			
	11 (Table 2 interest)	Construction Access Rights			
East Sussex National OBJ 3 Represented by James White of Savills	1	Arcing Horn Access Rights			
	2	Arcing Horn Rights			
	3	Arcing Horn Access Rights			
	4	Arcing Horn Rights			

	5	Arcing Horn Access Rights		minimise any interference as far as practicable.	not impact on working methods and safety too severely.
	6	Arcing Horn Rights			
	7	Working Area Rights			
	8	Construction Access Rights			
	9 (Table 2 interest)	Construction Access Rights		<p>NGET is committed to working with Swynson Limited and East Sussex National now to alleviate concerns regarding the impact of the working area and construction access route, upon the operation of the golf course. NGET is keen to discuss potential ways of mitigating the impact of the works through appropriate screening and (where possible) adjustments to the working area layout and access route.</p> <p>It is expected that the part of plot 7 overlapping the golf course will be required for a relatively short period of time approximately 3 to 4 months.</p> <p>NGET's appointed land agents, Fisher German, have been liaising with Swynson Limited's and East Sussex National's land agent to arrange a meeting to discuss all of this on site, along with NGET's engineers. It would</p>	<p>The proposed alternative site layout (critically the conductor pulling locations) was checked with the preferred contractor during a further site visit on 13 October. The preferred contractor confirmed the alternative layout was suitable so plans were prepared and issued. The parties have agreed to seek to agree terms by the end of October to allow the objection to the Order to be removed prior to the proposed hearings. An aerial overview plan was provided on 20 October for the landowner's/occupier's agent to check the revised extent of land affected on the course, so that terms may be agreed. Heads of Terms and plans for an option for a lease were issued to the agent on 1 November. The agents</p>

				<p>be most helpful if a meeting could be arranged as soon as possible.</p> <p>The Objection also raised concerns regarding engagement with Fisher German prior to the making of the Order. Fisher German have explained to East Sussex National that the working area included in the Order has been designed to enable the safest and most efficient method for the requisite works to be carried out. The precise details of the layout and use of the working area, such as the duration of works and number and frequency of vehicles taking access to it, will be finalised by the main works contractor, and that contractor has not yet been selected/appointed.</p> <p>While NGET cannot pre-empt the contractor's exact methods or requirements, NGET believe there is scope to discuss reasonable mitigation measures at this stage.</p>	<p>spoke on 9 November, with provisional agreement of terms subject to agreeing a rent for the work area.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

				NGET remain committed to resolving the concerns regarding the construction of the Project and to reaching a voluntary agreement Swynson Limited and East Sussex National in order to secure the rights it needs for the Project.	
Ridgewood Holdings Limited OBJ 4 Represented by James Ashcroft	33	Working Area Rights	<p>No objection to the scheme in principle but object to the compulsory purchase of the freehold of plots 58a and 58b for ecological mitigation.</p> <p>Object on the following basis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compulsory purchase of plots 58a and 58b is not 'required' for the stated purpose 	<p>A large proportion of the land on which the NGET, GSP and SPEN substations will be constructed (Plot 35) comprises habitat suitable for protected species including great crested newt (GCN), common reptiles, hazel dormice, breeding birds, badgers and foraging bats. The construction of the new substations will result in the loss of the majority of these habitats. Off-site land (Plots 58a and 58b) is required to compensate for the loss of these habitats and mitigate the impacts on the aforementioned protected species.</p> <p>The proposed mitigation is to provide alternative, or compensatory habitat suitable for the protected species. Given that the habitat to be lost (9.3ha)</p>	<p>Following delays while the objector appointed a new land agent, NGET's appointed land agent, Fisher German met with Mr Ashcroft and his agent on 29 September 2022 to review the position and advised that NGET would be willing to consider acquiring the alternative mitigation land proposed, subject to Natural England agreeing to vary the protected species licences granted, and further being able to discharge conditions of the planning permission for the development relating to ecological mitigation based on this alternative land.</p>
	35	Freehold			
	37	Construction Compound Rights			
	43	Construction Access Rights			
	46	Drainage Rights			
	48	Ecological Mitigation Rights			
	49	Overhead Line Rights			
	50	Ecological Mitigation Access Rights			

	51	Working Area Rights		<p>exceeds the site area to be provided as compensatory habitat (8.3ha), the quantitative loss must be addressed through qualitative improvements, or 'enhancements' to plots 58a/b.</p> <p>The creation of compensatory habitat on plots 58a/b is required in order to deliver the Project, as a result of the requirements of the planning permission and protected species licences secured from Natural England.</p> <p>The planning permission obtained for construction of the substations included a pre-commencement condition relating to ecology. In order to discharge that condition, an ecological mitigation and management strategy was prepared and approved by the local planning authority in April 2022. NGET has also secured a protected species licence from Natural England to carry out works on Plot 35 that would otherwise constitute an offence under wildlife legislation. In order to secure the licence, NGET has</p>	<p>It was made clear that the mitigation land originally identified by NGET as suitable (Plot 58a and 58b) will stay within the CPO until the alternative land has been confirmed as suitable, the Natural England licences have been varied, planning conditions discharged and the land acquired. The objector agreed to a walkover survey to determine the suitability of the land.</p> <p>NGET's intention is to continue to seek voluntary agreement over the land and rights required as soon as possible through proactive engagement with the landowner.</p> <p>In respect of business interruption, Fisher German confirmed indicative timescales. The main contractor will be appointed shortly and will be</p>
	52	Construction Access Rights			
	53	Construction and Ecological Mitigation Access Rights			
	54	Construction and Ecological Mitigation Access Rights			
	55	Working Area and Ecological Mitigation Access Rights			
	56	Working Area Rights			
	57	Construction and Ecological Mitigation Access Rights			
	58a	Freehold			

	58b	Freehold			
	59	Working Area Rights			
	23 (Table 2 interest)	Overhead Line Rights			
	25 (Table 2 interest)	Working Area Rights			
	29 (Table 2 interest)	Working Area Rights			
	40 (Table 2 interest)	Working Area Rights			
	42 (Table 2 interest)	Overhead Line Rights			
	44 (Table 2 interest)	Working Area Rights			
	45 (Table 2 interest)	Overhead Line Rights			
	3 (Table 2 interest)	Arcing Horn Access Rights			
				<p>committed to provide compensatory habitat on plots 58a/b to mitigate the impacts on protected species so as to ensure that their conservation status is maintained. The creation of compensatory habitat on plots 58a/b is therefore a legal requirement, pursuant to the Natural England licence, with which NGET must comply in order to deliver the Project.</p> <p>NGET requires the freehold of plots 58a and 58b because it is necessary for the compensatory habitat to be secured in the long term, and not reversed by subsequent development of, or incompatible uses of, the land, that are not in keeping with maintaining the favourable conservation status of the species covered by Natural England and the protected species licences.</p> <p>NGET acknowledge that in correspondence with RHL, NGET had suggested that it may wish to use plots 58a and 58b to relocate soil removed from the GSP land/plot 35 during</p>	<p>able to further discuss mitigation measures.</p> <p>It was agreed that it would be unlikely that the objection would be withdrawn before the scheduled commencement of the hearings, but that the parties would be happy to state that they are co-operating over solutions and appropriate mitigation.</p> <p>On 20 October the landowner’s agent submitted a proposed price for Freehold sale of the alternative mitigation land. A revised price was submitted on 2 November and responded to by return. , and discussed on a call on 9 November. Negotiations continue. Negotiations continue.</p>

4 (Table 2 interest)	Arcing Horn Rights			construction of the new substations. That is no longer the case. The land is required and intended for use only as ecological mitigation land, as set out above and in the Statement of Reasons.	
5 (Table 2 interest)	Arcing Horn Access Rights				
6 (Table 2 interest)	Arcing Horn Rights			Reference is made at p.ii (on page 2) of the Objection to alleged severance of the holding by the compulsory acquisition of plots 58a and 58b, but with no explanation of how this severance is perceived to occur or of the claimed significant adverse impact.	
7 (Table 2 interest)	Working Area Rights			Plots 58a and 58b lie on the eastern side of the holding, and if the perceived severance claimed is to land owned to the north, access is still readily available over land owned to the west of plots 58a and 58b with existing gateways through to the land to the north. NGET would welcome the opportunity to discuss this further in order to better understand the nature of the concerns.	
8 (Table 2 interest)	Construction Access Rights		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on farm and businesses (primarily impact of waste soil, creating a major constructions site immediately adjacent to field used for outdoor events and holiday 'yurts') 		

				<p>P10 of the Objection refers to the case of <i>R (Hall) v First Secretary of State</i> and in particular to three circumstances in which compulsory purchase could not be justified in the public interest, namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Land proposed to be acquired may be excessive because development proposals can be constructed without needing that land to be acquired ii. Acquisition of a right over the land, rather than its acquisition, might suffice iii. Land may be necessary for the development, but [the] landowner may be willing to agree to sell. <p>The Objection states that "With reference to point 'ii', in so far as any off-site habitat creation is required to re-create the compensatory habitat (including of woodland for enhancement to satisfy the dormouse</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alternatives to compulsory acquisition have not been considered 	<p>mitigation recommendations), compulsory purchase powers are not required because they could be achieved by the use of lesser powers than compulsory purchase (temporary possession and acquisition of rights of maintenance)".</p> <p>Firstly it should be noted that while the Housing and Planning Act 2016 includes temporary possession powers, these are not yet in force so are not available to NGET. As to the acquisition of new rights, as explained at paragraph 8.12 of the Statement of Reasons, "NGET is taking a proportionate approach to acquisition and only seeks to acquire the freehold title to the Order Land for the purposes of above ground permanent infrastructure, namely the NGET GSP substation and the SEPN substation (CPO Plot 35), and to mitigate the impacts of the new substations on the habitat of protected species (CPO Plots 58a and 58b). In all other instances rights in land will be acquired."</p>	
--	--	--	---	---	--

				<p>NGET requires the freehold of plots 58a and 58b because it is necessary for the compensatory habitat to be secured in the long term, and not reversed by subsequent development of, or incompatible uses of, the land, that are not in keeping with maintaining the favourable conservation status of the species covered by Natural England and the protected species licences.</p> <p>Protected species licences can only be issued if they meet three "licensing tests". One of the questions that must be addressed in order to secure a licence is whether the action authorised will be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of the species concerned at a favourable conservation status in their natural range ('the FCS test'). Therefore, the land required to compensate for the loss of the protected species habitats and maintain the species favourable conservation status should constitute the following:</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>a) be located in a place that would not risk wildlife mortality; this would be achieved by locating the compensatory habitat on land that avoids crossing highways, such as avoiding land south of the A22;</p> <p>b) be ecologically connected to the GSP land and the wider landscape, and as close to the GSP land as reasonably practicable to benefit protected species affected by the Project;</p> <p>c) be of low ecological value to minimise impacts to any protected species that might already be using the land during any habitat improvement or creation works; and</p> <p>d) be of an appropriate size/quality.</p> <p>In line with the above requirements, two fields (totalling c.13.7 ha) were identified by NEGТ’s ecology advisors</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>as potentially suitable for compensatory habitat as they were within 500m (the typical natural range for GCN) of the proposed substations (located around Pylon 4VM068) and of low ecological value. Both of these fields are owned by Ridgewood Holdings Limited. NGET approached Ridgewood Holdings Limited (represented by James Ashcroft) who explained that Ridgewood Holdings Limited were not willing to allow NGET to use these fields but were, in principle, amenable to NGET using an alternative area (included in the Order as plot 58a and 58b) for the creation of compensatory habitat.</p> <p>In addition to the options on land owned by Ridgewood Holdings Limited, four other nearby land parcels (belonging to different landowners) were identified as being potentially suitable for compensatory habitat, on the basis that they were likely to be of low ecological value and were connected to the proposed</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alternative locations to plots 58a and 58b have not been properly considered 	<p>development site through the wider natural landscape. Of these options, only three could be directly accessed from a road, which would be necessary to facilitate access for the intended long-term management of the land. However, only the Ridgewood Holdings land avoided crossing any highway and was located within the natural range of GCN (500m). The Ridgewood Holdings land was therefore identified as the most suitable option to compensate for impacts arising from the development.</p> <p>Ecological surveys of the Ridgewood Holdings land (Plots 58a and 58b) were carried out to acquire baseline habitat information. In addition, a pond located immediately south of plots 58a/b was confirmed to have a low population of GCN and as such enhancing this land would provide benefits to the metapopulation of GCN in the area thus, ensuring the species remained at a favourable conservation status. The information demonstrated that plots 51a/b met all the requirements</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>outlined at a)-d) above ('Ecological mitigation/compensation land requirements'), making these plots appropriate for compensatory habitat.</p> <p>This land is ecologically connected to the GSP land via outgrown hedges and a watercourse. It is approximately 440m from the GSP land, with no barriers in-between to impede species movements (such as roads or major watercourses). It also falls within the normal foraging ranges for many of the protected species associated with the GSP land. Its close proximity and connectivity to the GSP land is such that populations of protected or otherwise notable species that will be affected by the Project will directly benefit from the measures proposed here. Other land options are less favourable/suitable, due to their separation by public highways, which limit their connectivity to the land affected by development.</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--

				<p>Furthermore, the land is currently of low ecological value and would provide the gains for protected species and wider biodiversity, following the implementation of the compensation measures proposed. As noted above, it is also directly accessible from Sand Hill Lane. As well as avoiding adverse impacts associated with creating site access to alternative plots, this will enable ongoing access to complete the necessary monitoring surveys and long-term (at least c.25-30 years) management of the newly created and improved habitats. In addition, this option would avoid any severance of the remaining RHL (Plate 1).</p> <p>As indicated above, NGET did consider several alternative locations for ecological compensation/mitigation. However, plots 58a and 58b are the most ecologically suitable and their use for this purposes was, in fact, suggested by Ridgewood Holdings Limited. Moreover, Ridgewood Holdings Limited confirmed, in the context of</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

				<p>NGET’s applications for protected species licences, that this land could be used as compensatory habitat.</p> <p>The Objection confirms that Ridgewood Holdings Limited do not object to the principle of the Project which is welcomed by NGET. It acknowledges that the GSP land was acquired by NGET from Ridgewood Holdings Limited by voluntary agreement, and that there has been a long history of engagement/negotiation between NGET and Ridgewood Holdings Limited on the Project proposals.</p> <p>Ridgewood Holdings Limited were consulted on and fully aware of the need for compensatory habitat and indeed suggested that the land included in the Order as plots 58a and 58b be used for that purpose in preference to other Ridgewood Holdings land identified by NGET.</p> <p>Following an initial offer to acquire land for compensatory habitat in March</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

				2021, discussions have continued and NGET’s intention is to continue those discussions in an amicable and constructive manner in order to secure the land and rights needed for the Project without the use of CPO powers.	
Southern Power Networks OBJ 5					Objection withdrawn.
Hamilton Palace Limited OBJ 6 Represented by Robert Gates and Co.	38	Ecological Mitigation Rights	‘Holding objections’ pending conclusion of negotiations with NGET. Acknowledge that discussions are progressing well with NGET, matters are agreed in principle, and that once agreement is reached on the detailed scope of works the objections will be withdrawn.	NGET welcome confirmation that discussions are progressing in a positive manner; that the majority of matters have been agreed in principle; and that upon formal documentation of the matters agreed in principle, the objections will be formally withdrawn.	Objections formally withdrawn on 8 November.
	40	Working Area Rights			
	42	Overhead Line Rights			
Agnes Gnomou OBJ 7 Represented by Robert Gates and Co.	32	Overhead Line Rights		NGET remain committed to reaching voluntary agreement and as such, NGET’s agents, Fisher German, will continue to be in regular contact to ensure that outstanding matters can be resolved as soon as possible.	

				Objection withdrawn 8 th November 2022.	
	34	Working Area Rights			
	36	Ecological Mitigation Rights			
	39	Overhead Line Rights			
Ahmed Ben-Zarti OBJ 8	44	Working Area Rights			
Represented by Robert Gates and Co.					