UK Interconnector Development

Lazarus Investor Lunch

13 January 2015



Alan Foster – Director, European Business Development

Cautionary statement

This presentation contains certain statements that are neither reported financial results nor other historical information. These statements are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These statements include information with respect to National Grid's financial condition, its results of operations and businesses, strategy, plans and objectives. Words such as 'aims', 'anticipates', 'expects', 'should', 'intends', 'plans', 'believes', 'outlook', 'seeks', 'estimates', 'targets', 'may', 'will', 'continue', 'project' and similar expressions, as well as statements in the future tense, identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of National Grid's future performance and are subject to assumptions, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual future results to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by such forward-looking statements. Many of these assumptions, risks and uncertainties relate to factors that are beyond National Grid's ability to control or estimate precisely, such as changes in laws or regulations, announcements from and decisions by governmental bodies or regulators (including the timeliness of consents for construction projects); the timing of construction and delivery by third parties of new generation projects requiring connection; breaches of, or changes in, environmental, climate change and health and safety laws or regulations, including breaches or other incidents arising from the potentially harmful nature of its activities; network failure or interruption, the inability to carry out critical non network operations and damage to infrastructure, due to adverse seasonal and weather conditions including the impact of major storms as well as the results of climate change or due to unauthorised access to or deliberate breaches of National Grid's IT systems and supporting technology; changes in public safety concerns, including due to network failure or interruption involving National Grid or other utility providers, and related increases in repair and emergency response activities; performance against regulatory targets and standards and against National Grid's peers with the aim of delivering stakeholder expectations regarding costs and efficiency savings, including those related to investment programmes and internal transformation projects; and customers and counterparties (including financial institutions) failing to perform their obligations to the Company. Other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in this announcement include fluctuations in exchange rates, interest rates and commodity price indices; restrictions and conditions (including filing requirements) in National Grid's borrowing and debt arrangements, funding costs and access to financing; regulatory requirements for the Company to maintain financial resources in certain parts of its business and restrictions on some subsidiaries' transactions such as paying dividends, lending or levying charges; inflation; the delayed timing of recoveries and payments in National Grid's regulated businesses and whether aspects of its activities are contestable; the funding requirements and performance of National Grid's pension schemes and other post-retirement benefit schemes; the failure to attract, train or retain employees with the necessary competencies, including leadership skills, and any significant disputes arising with National Grid's employees or the breach of laws or regulations by its employees; and the failure to respond to market developments and grow the Company's business to deliver its strategy, as well as incorrect or unforeseen assumptions or conclusions (including unanticipated costs and liabilities) relating to business development activity, including assumptions in connection with joint ventures. For further details regarding these and other assumptions, risks and uncertainties that may impact National Grid, please read the Strategic Report section and the 'Risk factors' on pages 167 to 169 of National Grid's most recent Annual Report and Accounts. In addition, new factors emerge from time to time and National Grid cannot assess the potential impact of any such factor on its activities or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual future results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Except as may be required by law or regulation, the Company undertakes no obligation to update any of its forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this presentation.

Agenda

- 1 Introductions
- 2 Opportunities
- 3 Projects
- 4 Investment Decision
- 5 Regulatory Framework

National Grid has made a commercial success from electricity interconnectors – and has an opportunity to build more

Significant electricity interconnector experience

We currently own two interconnectors, linking GB to France and Holland:

IFA		BritNed	
What:	2GW, 70km	What:	1GW, 260km
Partner:	French TSO, RTE	Partner:	Dutch TSO, TenneT
Operational:	1985	Operational:	2011

Additionally we built and subsequently disposed of Basslink (Australia-Tasmania Link)

Increasing momentum in EU for more interconnection

- New Commission's proposal to create an "Energy Union" details to be published Q1 2015
- EU objectives of interconnection capacity equivalent to 10% of installed generation capacity by 2020, and 15% by 2030
- Ambition to complete the European Internal Energy Market (IEM) by end-2015 to realise consumer benefits of competitive markets
- List of pan-EU "Projects of Common Interest" (PCI) to be updated Oct 2015
- Interconnection enables increased integration of renewable energy

Electricity interconnectors deliver many benefits

- Allow electricity to flow from lowest to highest priced country, generating revenue for owner, based on power price difference and capacity of cable.
- Significant socio-economic benefits
 - Lower prices for consumers
 - Increased security of supply
 - Helps intermittency issues posed by renewables
- Revenues in the form of capacity rents, from users the greater the price difference, the greater the capacity rents

Separate and incremental to UK regulated operations

- Additional growth opportunity to existing RIIO businesses
- Separate business development team within National Grid with strict business separation
- New regulatory deal offers an improved fit with National Grid risk profile
- Returns available (and expected) above regulated UK returns
- Leverages our strong competitive position, operational expertise and experience in a competitive marketplace

Expectations for continued system variability and generation intermittency underpin confidence in future interconnector value

European power price differentials:

It is expected that future GB power prices will remain greater than European markets over the next 30 years to sustain the structural value of our planned interconnectors:



Source: Baringa modelling – reference case

Structural value:

'Structural' value of interconnectors is derived from fundamental differences in generating mixes and marginal price setting



Variable value:

'Variable' value of interconnectors is derived from volatility which can be created by:

- Natural variability in weather conditions, influencing electricity demand and renewable production
- Key events, such as station outages or transmission failures
- Demand side management

The political and regulatory environment adds to expectations that financial performance of interconnectors can remain strong, or become stronger

Structural value	Drivers of structural differences in national energy systems remain	al	Dominant sources of generation likely to remain the same in future e.g. hydro in Norway, thermal in GB UK carbon price floor introduced in 2013 creates another structural difference
Variable value	Multiple source of variability expected, even without unforeseen events		Intermittent renewables will continue to be built out Newly decentralised EC environmental targets will drive country by country variations in how they are met
Political & regulatory protection	Interconnectors enjoy strong political support & firm regulatory settlement		Clear and explicit support from UK Government and EC for more electricity interconnection New regulatory regime now close to being agreed by Ofgem, and endorsed by neighbouring regulators

Our current interconnector portfolio and partners



The interconnector investment decision

Key characteristics and value drivers

- Strong TSO partnership arrangements and financing
- Strong political support
- Regulatory certainty
- Procurement and technical design capabilities
- Planning consents knowledge

Expected income streams

Potential sources of income

- Market arbitrage
 - 2015 2030 (primarily structural value)
 - 2030 2045 (increasing variable value)
 - Reacting to patterns of intermittent generation
- Capacity market payments
- Ancillary services market expected to grow significantly
 - Frequency Response, Black Start
 - Existing suppliers shutting by 2020

Cost of getting to investment decision

Typically c. £20m

- Development of the optimised solution
 - Socio-economic study
 - System benefits and implications
 - Technical studies
 - Planning approvals
- Seabed survey
- Procurement events and Risk Management
- Regulatory approvals

Near-term investment decisions

Nemo

- GB and Belgian generation mixes share some similarities so structural value potentially lower than for other links
- Short link, so costs and technical challenges more manageable

NSN

- Very high structural value as generation mixes in GB versus Norway are very different
- Connection distance is long, presenting greater technical risks

Cap and floor: The new regulatory regime for UK interconnection

UK is alone in requiring a developer-led model for interconnection compared to other EU countries, where it is the responsibility of the National TSO

In summer 2014 Ofgem launched a new, alternative regulatory regime to facilitate investment in UK interconnection, where it agrees the link is in consumers' interests

The new regime is open to any prospective developer and does not form part of the RIIO regime



Capacity Mechanism: Interconnectors were unable to participate in the first capacity auction held in December 2014 but will be able to participate from 2015 onwards

DECC has consulted on proposals for how best to amend the Capacity Market rules for subsequent auctions (for capacity delivered in Winter 2019/20):

- Due to publish the results from this consultation in mid-January
- Detailed views from DECC on the technical issues related to interconnector participation are also due in February

Rationale for inclusion within Capacity Markets from 2015

- Capacity markets could dampen wholesale electricity prices
 - Generators can be compensated for this loss through steady capacity payments
 - Including interconnectors in the Capacity Market levels the playing field
- Positive contribution made by interconnectors to security of supply
- EC challenge on the lack of participation by interconnectors within the market design

Technical issues

- Calculation of de-rating factors: interconnectors expected to be individually de-rated based on technical reliability and their contribution to GB security of supply
- Contract lengths: new build and existing
- Caps on penalty payments: penalties may be based only on unused import capacity, or also on export capacity (implying a double penalty)

Summary

- 1. Electricity interconnectors provide National Grid with a significant opportunity for incremental, value adding growth
- 2. Electricity interconnectors currently benefit from considerable political and regulatory support
- 3. National Grid is taking a leading role by developing a pipeline of projects
- 4. Multiple considerations in bringing any project to an investment decision our experience and expertise provide a competitive advantage
- 5. Potential for both Nemo and NSN investment decision in Q1 2015