

Transmission Access Standing Group (TASG)

Meeting Name	Transmission Access Standing Group (TASG)
Meeting No.	7
Date of Meeting Time	16 th August 2007 10:00am – 15:00pm
Venue	National Grid, Warwick

1. Attendees

Paul Jones	E.ON
Dennis Gowland	Fairwind Statkraft Orkney
Bill Reed	RWE
John Morris	British Energy
Aileen McLeod	Scottish and Southern Energy
David Scott	EDF
James Anderson	Scottish Power
Tony Diccico	Npower
Graeme Cooper	BWEA
David Walker	West Coast Energy
Bob Brown	Cornwall Consulting
Karron Baker	Ofgem
Dewi ab Iorwerth	Centrica
Tim Russell	Russell Power
Mike Davies	Wind Energy (Forse) Limited
Malcolm Taylor	AEP
Robert Longdon	Airtricity
Philip Baker	BERR
Hedd Roberts	National Grid
Patrick Hynes	National Grid
Beverley Viney	TASG Technical Secretary

2. Apologies for Absence

Apologies for absence were received from Richard Ford, Charles Williams, Jeremy Sainsbury and Paul Plumptre.

3. Actions and Notes from 1st August

Actions from the 1st August –

- All actions were relating to the report with is the purpose of the meeting of 16th August.

4. Review standing group report (draft v0.8)

The report was discussed in detail and updated whilst the Working Group clarified and discussed the models the following are the actions associated with each model.

Shared TEC

- Add the comment that shared TEC can be more than 2 parties
- Try and capture linkages against wider system and other areas i.e. charging and add this to the report

Transmission Access Standing Group (TASG)

NOV TEC

- Clarified restricted to Novel Technology generation only
- Transfer is a better description
- Needs to be captured in the report that this is an area that should be explored further by a Working Group.

Shared TEC

- Generic Issue of local asset charge – how is this charged for

Moderated Sharing

- Socialisation of costs
- Doubling TEC size overnight without increasing physical asset capability

Wind Energy Strawman/ Connect and Manage Plus (CaMP)

- Lets new users on earlier but increases risk to other users

Overrun

- Facilitates Competition – it is a deep charge
- Concerns that it could undermine TEC

ETEC

- Optimising availability on an economic basis
- Does put risk on some of the other users
- Not perfectly cost effective
- In practical terms only small generators are likely to use it

Temporary TEC Transfer

- Methodology required regarding charging
- Might be BSC implications
- SQSS implications

All to finalise report via correspondence, and the report to be issued with August CUSC papers, and presented to the August CUSC Panel.