

CAP160 Review of Actions

Action/Issue	Updated document/templates	Changes made	Views invited from the GSG
<p>Minute 11 – Review process for determining implementation dates – correct reference of CUSC provisions on Implementation Dates to be included in report and consultation templates as guidance</p>	<p>WG Consultation, WG Report, Company Consultation and Amendment Report templates</p>	<p>Correct CUSC references added.</p>	
<p>Minute 17 – Adequate information/ analysis to determine implementation dates – additional question to be included to encourage provision of this information</p>	<p>WG Response Pro Forma, WG Consultation, WG Report, Company Consultation and Amendment Report templates.</p>	<p>Additional question added to response pro forma. Additional paragraph added to consultation and report templates asking for views on the suggested implementation date. Any views provided would then be captured in the Amendment Report.</p>	
<p>Minute 22 – Review of Report Templates - guidance to be included to ensure transitional arrangements are considered.</p>	<p>WG Consultation, WG Report, Company Consultation and Amendment Report templates.</p>	<p>Additional question added to consultation and report templates to ask if there are any transitional implications that need to be considered. Any views would then be captured in the Amendment Report.</p>	
<p>Voting procedure within Working Groups – when WG members are asked to vote on which option best facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives,</p>	<p><i>TBC</i></p>	<p><i>TBC</i></p>	<p>Merel asked for this to be captured as part of the CAP160 review. Is guidance on this required?</p>

<p>some members abstain because they do not like the options and other members choose the least worst option because they believe they have to make a choice.</p>			
<p>WG Consultation Alternative Request – At present the CUSC provisions allow for a Working Group Consultation Alternative Request to be raised only by CUSC Parties.</p> <p>The WG Consultation Request Pro Forma requests the “<i>Capacity in which the WG Consultation Request is being raised (i.e CUSC Party, BSC Party, National Consumer Council)</i>”</p>	<p>TBC</p>	<p>TBC</p>	<p>Should it be possible for BSC Parties and the National Consumer Council to raise WG Consultation Alternative Requests?</p>