

## Draft - Transmission Access Standing Group (TASG)

Meeting Name	Transmission Access Standing Group (TASG)
Meeting No.	2
Date of Meeting	5 <sup>th</sup> June 2007
Time	10:00am – 03:00pm
Venue	Holiday Inn, Leamington Spa

### 1. Attendees

Paul Jones	E.ON
Dennis Gowland	Fairwind Statkraft Orkney
Richard Ford	RES
Mark Copley	On behalf of Wind Energy (Forse) Ltd
David Scott	EDF
Malcolm Taylor	AEP
Tony Diccico	Npower
John Morris	British Energy
Graeme Cooper	BWEA
David Walker	West Coast Energy
Bob Brown	Cornwall Consulting
Bill Reed	RWE
Dewi ab Iorwerth	Centrica
Tim Russell	Russell Power
James Anderson	Scottish Power
Jeremy Sainsbury	Natural Power
Philip Baker	DTI
Karron Baker	Ofgem
Beverley Viney	TASG Technical Secretary
Hedd Roberts	TASG Chair
Paul Plumptre	National Grid

### 2. Apologies for Absence

16. Apologies for absence were received from Pat Hynes

### 3. Actions and Notes from 15<sup>th</sup> May

17. The notes of the first meeting were agreed by the Standing Group and will be published on the website.

18. Actions from the 15<sup>th</sup> May –

- 5 – PH outlined the current amendments to the members so that the current baseline could be better understood. The group requested the presentation be e-mailed to members - presentation issued
- 6 - The group requested clarification of notice of firmness as to who applies for what and when for LDTEC and STTEC. PH will provide a slide at the next meeting on the process.– HR presented a slide on clarification of notice to firmness
- 11 National Grid agreed to investigate when the conclusions to the queue management review would be available, when available it would be emailed to TASG members. – by the end of 22<sup>nd</sup> June the next document will be issued
- 12 – It was requested that for the next agenda the following items should be included; what does TEC mean, what are the rights and obligation associated with it? e.g. is it a property right. National Grid agreed to bring

an interpretation for the next meeting.- this action is covered by slide's in the presentations of 5<sup>th</sup> June

- 13 – TR gave a presentation to provoke thought from the TASG members. It was requested that these slides be forwarded to members. – Completed presentation issued

#### 4. High Level Models

19. The group had a number of models presented to them. The following are the main points of discussions. For the details of the individual presentations please refer to the National Grid Web site where the presentations can be found.

20. **TEC Trading** – presented by Hedd Roberts on behalf of Patrick Hynes. Following the presentation the following points were discussed or clarified.

- The group corrected the description of “Trading” to “Transfer”
- To have TEC allocated you must have met initial Requirements
- The level of CEC limits the level of TEC
- Exchange rate could also get in the way of the transfer
- Consideration should be given to Gridcode, BSC, STC etc. should any amendments be ultimately proposed.
- Clarification was requested as to whether early transfer would be possible i.e. TEC starts in April and in the March the “owner” of this allocated TEC wishes to Transfer it

**Action 1: HR to clarify**

- Clarification was requested on CAP068 drafting, is it currently incumbent to incumbent only?

**Action 2: HR to clarify**

- It was suggested that the following documents should be read; TASG 2003/4 and CAP068 discussions

21. **Additional TEC release** – presented by PP. Following the presentation the following points were discussed or clarified.

- If short term i.e. 3 months etc why does section 37 apply

**Action 3: PP to check**

- Is 2 year TEC purchasable 2 years in advance?
- There was debate on gamma and the associated reduction for wind as constraint costs rise for existing mix of generation.
- Cross subsidy factor caused concern
- By getting people on early, existing users are exposed to even greater risks and costs
- Accuracy of constraint cost forecast is a concern
- Substitutions and interactions between zones could be problematic
- Nested zones could cause problems for auction

22. **TEC Overrun** – presented by Hedd Roberts on behalf of Patrick Hynes. Following the presentation the following points were discussed or clarified.

- Locational constraint pricing for TEC overrun
- Price would recover the cost
- Automation would reduce time to calculate price but would be expensive
- Revenues feed into BSUoS
- Short run prices identified and charged

23. **Interim access for new (primarily) marine renewable generation** – presented by Dennis Gowland. Following the presentation the following points were discussed or clarified.

- This was labelled Novel TEC or NovTEC
- DG stated that this presentation was to generate comment hopefully for further discussion at the next meeting
- It was believed that the existing regime (CAP068 and CAP142) covers this;

## Draft - Transmission Access Standing Group (TASG)

- however the underlining problem is lack of TEC to exchange in the appropriate area.
- Allowing trading of TEC in CONSAG is a problem because it encourages hoarding (it gives it value)
24. **Strawman Proposal** – presented by Mark Copley on behalf of Mike Davies. Following the presentation the following points were discussed or clarified.
- Locational signals sent via zonal losses
  - TEC is dispensed with – charges reflect the use made of the network by all the plant
  - Changes charging from Capacity(MW) charging to Commodity(MWh) Charging
25. **Connect and Manage** – presented by Richard Ford. Following the presentation the following points were discussed or clarified.
- A lot of plant coming on is replacing existing Generation which is having to retire, and therefore doesn't need additional capacity on the system as it is a replacement rather than an addition to the Generation
  - It was suggested that this model was providing a route to market which wouldn't normally be there
  - Fewer live applications would be generated
  - Queue would have project that *would* be connecting rather than *thinking* of connecting.
  - It was asked if this model was adopted could the first half of CAP131 be bolted on?
  - Government needs to address planning issues caused by political objective of bringing on as many renewables as possible
26. As time had run out everyone was requested to read the model proposed by DS  
**Action 4: All**
27. Everyone was requested to look at the Pros and Cons of all the models and send them to BV by 13<sup>th</sup> June.  
**Action 5: All**
28. It was requested at the next meeting to present what TEC currently is and what can and can't be done with it.  
**Action 6: HR**
29. It was agreed that at the next meeting on 15<sup>th</sup> June there would be debate on the Pros and Cons and impacts of the above models.
30. PP will look at the differences between his model and RF model and will bring this to the next meeting  
**Action 7: PP**

### 5. Next Meeting

31. The next meeting will be held at National Grid House, Warwick on 15<sup>th</sup> June 2007. The meeting will commence at 10:00am.